

Audit and Risk Management Committee SUPPLEMENTARY AGENDA

Date: TUESDAY, 24 MAY 2022

Time: 2.00 pm

Venue: COMMITTEE ROOMS, 2ND FLOOR, WEST WING, GUILDHALL

13. **AUDIT PLANNING**

For Information

- Audit Planning Report 21/22 Bridge House Estates (Pages 3 30)
 Report of the External Auditors.
- Audit Planning Report 21/22 City's Cash and Other Charities (Pages 31 66)
 Report of the External Auditors.

20. EXTERNAL AUDITOR APPOINTMENT

Report of Independent Auditor Panel and The Chamberlain

For Decision (Pages 67 - 86)

Items received too late for circulation in conjunction with the Agenda.

John Barradell
Town Clerk and Chief Executive





Bridge House Estates

Audit Planning Report to the Audit and Risk Committee

Year ending 31 March 2022

Presented to the Audit and Risk Committee on 24 May 2022



Strictly Private and Confidential

The Audit and Risk Committee City of London PO Box 270 Guildhall London

EC2P 2EJ

Dear Members of the Audit and Risk Committee

We have set out in this audit planning report various matters relating to our audit of the financial statements of Bridge House Estates (BHE) for the year ending 31 March 2022 following our initial discussions with Karen Atkinson, Nathan Omane and Helen Martins on 21 March 2022.

I have pleasure in submitting our audit planning report for the year ending 31 March 2022. The primary purpose of this report is to communicate to the Audit and Risk Committee and the Trustee, to report in turn to the Bridge House Estates Board relevant matters relating to our forthcoming audit.

I look forward to discussing our report with you, as well as any further matters you may wish to raise with us, and I shall be attending the Audit and Risk Committee meeting with James Hay.

➤ We look forward to working with you on the completion of the audit of the annual report and financial statements of Bridge House Estates

Yours sincerely

Tina Allison Partner



Contents

1.	1. Executive summary	3
2.		
3.		
4.	4. Fraud and irregularities and our audit reporting	11
5.	5. Staffing, fees and timetable	12
Appen	Appendix 1 - Responsibilities and ethical standards	14
Appen	Appendix 2 - Audit materiality	16
Appen	Appendix 3 - Trustee's Report and Financial Statements	17
Appen	Appendix 4 - External developments	



Executive summary

Our report to you

We are pleased to present our Audit Planning Report to the Audit and Risk Committee in its advisory role to the BHE Board, and welcome the opportunity to discuss this with you at your meeting on 24 May 2022.

International Standards on Auditing (UK) require that we communicate formally with "those charged with the governance" of BHE regarding relevant matters relating to our forthcoming audits. The objectives of this are to:

- ensure that there is a mutual understanding of the scope of the audit and the respective responsibilities of ourselves as auditor and those charged with governance;
- share information to assist both ourselves as auditor and those charged with governance to fulfil their respective responsibilities; and
- provide to those charged with governance constructive observations arising from the audit process.

The matters in this report include

- an overview of the planned scope and timing of the audit
- the significant risks of material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error, and our plans to address these
- our approach to internal control relevant to the audit
- the application of the concept of materiality in the context of an audit
- any other significant matters that, in our professional judgment, are relevant to the oversight of the financial reporting process

We have discussed the above matters in Section 2 to Section 5 of this report.

Responsibilities and ethical standards

We have prepared this report taking account of the responsibilities of the Trustee and ourselves set out in <u>Appendix 1</u> of this report.

Audit materiality

Our overall audit materiality for the financial statements as a whole will take account of the level of funds held by BHE and will be set at approximately 2% of Investments, this measure will be applied to the audit of investments and pension liability.

In addition, we will determine whether a materiality amount lower than this materiality level is applicable for any particular classes of transactions, account balances or disclosures. At planning we have determined a lower materiality of 1.5% of expenditure will be applied to auditing transactions in the statement of financial activities and other balance sheet items.

Further details of materiality levels are set out in Appendix 3.

Trustee's Report and Financial Statements

We have set out in <u>Appendix 4</u> a number of considerations to be taken by the Trustee and management when preparing the financial statements for the year ending 31 March 2022.

Audit report

Please note that, while the financial statements are in draft form, the draft audit report should contain the words "This report has not yet been signed" in the space for our signature. We will agree with you when this wording can be removed.



2. Significant audit risks

Our audit work will take account of our assessment of the risks of misstatement of transactions and balances in the financial statements. We identify a range of risks from our understanding of BHE, its people and environment, and the system of internal control and plan our audit work so as to reduce the risk of material misstatement to an acceptable level. We also identify which of those risks require special audit attention ("significant risks" or "specific risks"). Significant risk is considered in the context of how, and the degree to which, inherent risk factors affect the likelihood and magnitude of a misstatement occurring. Such factors may be qualitative or quantitative, and include complexity, subjectivity, change, uncertainty or susceptibility to misstatement due to management bias or other fraud risk factors.

To assist in the understanding of these risks we have identified one or more of six potential reasons, as defined by ISA 315, for the increased audit risk. In addition, we also consider other factors which may give rise to significant risks, such as a history of previous issues or the matter involves significant judgement.

1. Fraud risk

age

- 2. Recent significant economic, accounting or other developments
- 3. Complexity of the transactions
- 4. Financial information involves a wide range of measurement uncertainty
- 5. Involves significant transactions with related parties
- 6. Significant transactions that are unusual / outside the normal course of business for the entity

Significant risk identified	Risks	Key related judgements	Crowe response
Revenue recognition – Investment property income	3	Investment property income is the largest revenue stream for Bridge House Estates, totalling £27.0m in 2020/21. Whilst comprising mostly of routinely invoiced income, the Covid-19 pandemic has led to the introduction of rent-free periods and rent holidays requiring more complex accounting. In addition, the quarterly invoicing pattern usually followed leads to the need to partially defer invoiced income at year-end.	As part of our audit work we will include the following tests: We will review the income recognition policy, ensuring it is in line with SORP requirements and is being appropriately applied and disclosed;
		This revenue stream also includes revenue released from deferred lease premiums attached to long term leases where BHE is the lessor. We understand that a new long lease has been signed for Millennium Bridge House in this financial year, with a £5m premium attached.	 Document and review the systems and controls in place over investment property income. This is a key area of control to ensure that you are recognising all income that is due and closely manage and monitor the debtor ledger;
		Given the relative size of this revenue stream and complexities arising over cut-off and lease accounting, we consider there to be a significant risk over this revenue stream.	We will carry out analytical procedures and substantive testing on all income streams including reconciliations to the relevant systems and other records;

© 2022 Crowe U.K. LLP



	Significant risk identified	Risks	Key related judgements	Crowe response
				 Review a sample of transactions and bank receipts either side of the year end date to ensure these have been recognised in the appropriate period;
				 Obtain a breakdown of investment property income for the year and reconcile to the trial balance.
				 Verify a sample of property receipts to supporting tenancy agreements and invoices:
				 Review the year-end deferred income balances, testing a sample to support and re-calculating the split of any invoices as appropriate; and
j				 Review the long term lease premium accounting treatments to ensure they have been accounted for in accordance with the relevant accounting standards, and that they are being released correctly.
	Revenue recognition – Financial	4	Investment income is derived from the various investment holdings of BHE, including listed investments, private equity and hedge fund	Our audit testing in this area will include:
	Investment income		holdings and bank deposits. BHE co-invests with the City of London Pension Fund and City's Cash into a number of holdings, with a portion of the value and investment income then apportioned to the charity from this central pool.	 Agreeing the income reported in the investment managers' reports and bank interest to the nominal ledger and reviewing cut off to check that the income has been appropriately recognised;
			The primary risk for this revenue stream is over the accuracy of the central split of the income allocated to BHE, as well as the completeness of the investment income reported for the year, where it might be necessary to accrue for income not yet received.	Reviewing the relevant AAF01/06 controls reports for the investment managers and custodians to gain assurance that income is being reported accurately to the Corporation; and
				 Review the allocation of investment income to BHE, ensuring it is in line with the proportion of the investment holdings allocated to the charity.



Key related judgements Significant risk **Risks Crowe response** identified As part of out testing, we will: Revenue recognition 4 BHE operates the tourist attraction at Tower Bridge, which generates income for the charity through both ticket sales and through the gift shop. - Tourism income Gain an understanding of the systems and This revenue stream was impacted severely by the Covid-19 pandemic controls in place around tourism income. in 2020/21, with the site forced to close for much of the year. including how the risk of fraud through diversion of cash is mitigated: Whilst we understand that this revenue stream has not yet fully Trace a sample of ticket sales per the EPOS recovered due to ongoing government restrictions in the year, this system through to its ultimate receipt in bank: revenue stream will once again be material for the charity in 2021/22. with the primary risk being over the completeness of the revenue Review a sample of reconciliations that are recognised. This revenue stream has also traditionally involved a greater completed for sales, tracing total cash and card degree of cash handling than others due to its nature, increasing the risk income per the EPOS system to receipt in bank. of fraud or diversion of funds. and Perform cut-off testing around the year end to Page ensure income has been recognised in the correct years. Revenue recognition Our consideration and approach to income streams that are not considered to be significant risks is set out in Section 3. - non-significant risks Grants to voluntary This is the largest single expenditure item for BHE. Our audit work will We will use as our start point a schedule of grants. organisations focus on ensuring that grant awards and payments have been prepared by management, which reconciles the appropriately approved and that liabilities have been captured in the opening liability for grants to the closing creditor and the expense in the financial statements taking into appropriate period. account payments and awards in the year. We will test the completeness and accuracy of this schedule by confirming, on a sample basis, that awards approved have been included in the schedule and allocated to the appropriate period. We will ask to be provided with copies of minutes and decision letters for this purpose.



	_	
		C
	۵	٥
(\bar{c}	2
	์)
	`	
	_	
	C	

Significant risk identified	Risks	Key related judgements	Crowe response
Estimates and judgements – Financial investment valuation	1,3,4	The financial investments portfolio represented £834m as at 31 March 2021. There is a risk with regard to the existence / ownership of the assets in the investment portfolio and their correct valuation. As the investments are held and managed by third party service providers it is important that: • the Charity has sufficient controls in place to mitigate the risks associated with outsourcing services; and • the controls in operation by the third party service provider over the ownership and management of the Charity's assets and their associated income streams are sufficiently robust.	Our focus will be on your own internal procedures to manage and control the investments as well as the controls being operated by both the investment managers and the custodian, including consideration of the relevant AAF01/06 controls reports. We will obtain valuations directly from the investment managers. We will review the reconciliations between the reports from the investment managers and the custodian's report and the records independently maintained to confirm ownership and to identify potential anomalies or significant movements in the year (particularly in relation to purchases and disposals). We will also review the cash flows to, from and between the investment managers and the tracking of these movements.
Estimates and judgements – Investment Property Valuation	1,3,4	Investment properties held by the Charity totalled £843.8m as at 31 March 2021. It is our understanding that these properties are valued independently by two firms registered as valuers with the Royal Institution of Chartered Surveyors ("RICS") as at 31 March each year. Investment properties are carried in the financial statements at fair value. FRS102 requires revaluation to be made with sufficient regularity to ensure that the carrying value does not differ materiality from that which would be determined using fair value at the reporting date.	We will review the investment property valuation report with consideration to judgements and estimates used by the valuer with reference to market data. We will also test the inputs provided to the valuer by the Charity and the ownership status via land registry We will also review the valuation adjustment and ensure any gains/losses on revaluation have been appropriately recognised in the Statement of Comprehensive Income.
Estimates and judgements – pension liability	1,3,4	The assumptions surrounding the FRS102 pension liability calculations performed by the actuaries can make a significant difference to the result disclosed in the financial statements. The City Corporation operates a funded defined benefit pension scheme, The City of London Pension Fund, for its staff employed on activities	Our audit testing will include the following: Benchmarking the assumptions used by the actuary in calculating the FRS102 pension liability;



	Significant risk identified	Risks	Key related judgements	Crowe response
			relating predominantly to the three principal funds for which it is responsible (City Fund, City's Cash and Bridge House Estates). At present, BHE includes the pension scheme liability in the accounts as reported under IAS19, with a conversion not made to FRS102 on the grounds of the difference not being material. There is a risk that this difference may in fact be material or otherwise significant. Estimates and judgements that are not considered to be significant risks are set out in Section 3.	 Assess the difference in calculating the liability between IAS19 and FRS102 to determine whether it is material or otherwise significant; Verifying scheme assets to third party documentation and testing their valuation; Verifying (on a sample basis) the input data provided to the actuary to HR and payroll records; and Verifying the apportionment of the pension liability across the 3 City of London funds.
Page 11	Management override of controls, including through journal adjustments	1	Although the level of risk of management override of controls varies from entity to entity, Auditing Standards recognise that this risk is nevertheless present in all entities because of management's ability to manipulate accounting records and prepare fraudulent financial statements by overriding controls that otherwise appear to be operating effectively. Due to the unpredictable way in which such override could occur, including to mask fraud, the override of controls is a significant risk for all audits. The Trustee must satisfy themselves that the control environment present within the entity together with the trustee controls and controls over the posting of journals are adequate to deter any inappropriate override of controls from management.	 Our audit work will include the following: Understanding and evaluating the financial reporting process and the controls over journal entries and other adjustments made in the preparation of the financial statements and testing the appropriateness of a sample of such entries and adjustments; Reviewing accounting estimates for biases that could result in material misstatement due to fraud; and Obtaining an understanding of the business rationale of significant transactions that we become aware of that are outside the normal course of business or that otherwise appear to be unusual given our understanding of BHE and its

environment.

3. Other areas of audit focus and disclosure

We have also noted the following matters from our initial discussions as not having significant or specific audit risk but being potentially relevant to the financial statements.

Income

Page

12

International Standards on Auditing (ISA 240) presumes there is always a significant risk of material misstatement due to fraud in revenue recognition, unless this is rebutted.

Whilst we deem Investment Property Income, Investment Income and Tourism Income to be significant (see <u>Section 2</u>) we do not consider other income streams to be significant due to their expected immaterial nature. If this does not transpire to be the case, we will perform a risk assessment of this revenue stream and report this to you within our Findings Report.

Across all income streams the key risks remain the same:

- Completeness (has all income due been appropriately recognised in the period?).
- Benefit (has income been recognised in the appropriate period?).
- Fund allocation (have donor restrictions on the use of the income been appropriately captured in the financial statements?).
- Accuracy (where income is owed at year end, is it likely to be received or should it be provided against?).

Judgements and estimates

ISA (UK) 540 Auditing Accounting Estimates and Related Disclosures requires additional audit focus over management's estimates, including undertaking separate risk assessments for both inherent and control risks. In respect of the former, consideration is required of the estimation uncertainty, the subjectivity and the complexity of the estimate. We are also required to consider whether the disclosures made in the financial statements are reasonable.

We will pay careful attention to areas of the financial statements affected by management judgement and estimation. We have initially identified the following for specific review.

- Assessment of impairment of assets.
- Assessment of the remaining useful life of assets.

We will identify all areas where an accounting estimate or judgment is used and we will obtain an update from management on the basis of the estimates.

We will consider whether these have high or low estimation uncertainty. Where there is high estimation uncertainty (primarily, if there is a range of reasonable outcomes which exceeds our materiality) this indicates a "significant risk". We will compare the estimates and judgments made in the prior period with actual outcomes.

We will also review management's assessment of this and specifically consider whether the estimates and judgments arrived at by management indicate any "management bias". This means that management will also need to consider whether there is any bias in information received from other departments.

It is important that you are satisfied that the assumptions used by management are appropriate and we will ask you to provide a written representation to us to confirm this.

Payroll

Payroll is one of the largest single expenditure items for BHE totalling $\pounds 6.4m$ in 2021.

As part of our audit we will review the controls in place over monthly processing including the reconciliation of the payroll to the nominal ledger.

We will also perform analytical procedures that consider gross pay, deductions and staff numbers year on year to ensure that all trends and relationships appear reasonable and that the totals agree with the ledger, and we will verify a sample of staff between the payroll and other HR records and agree their costs to supporting documentation on a sample basis.

Funds

BHE operates a number of different funds subject to various restrictions and designations. You must ensure that all movements on funds are correctly identified and accounted for. This requires careful consideration of the various terms and conditions which may be applied to income.

We will:

- Trace restricted contributions and grants found in our income testing to the relevant fund account.
- Review a sample of expenses allocated to restricted funds to ensure that the expenditure was spent in accordance with the objects of the fund.
- Review the analysis of net assets to ensure that it has been correctly allocated across the funds.

Review the processes in place to ensure that restricted transactions are completely and accurately captured and reported within the organisation and review year end balances to ensure that they appropriately reflect the restrictions that should be in force.

Going concern and our audit reporting

In preparing the financial statements to comply with Financial Reporting Standard 102 the Trustee is required to make an assessment of the charity's ability to continue as a going concern. In assessing whether the going concern assumption is appropriate, the Trustee is required to consider all available information about the future of the charity in the period of at least, but not limited to, twelve months from the date when the financial statements are approved and authorised for issue.

In forming conclusions on going concern the Trustee will need to evaluate which of three potential outcomes is appropriate to the specific circumstances of the charity. The trustee may conclude:

- there are no material uncertainties that lead to significant doubt upon the entity's ability to continue as a going concern;
- there are material uncertainties that lead to significant doubt upon the entity's ability to continue as a going concern; or

the use of the going concern basis is not appropriate.

A material uncertainty is defined as 'An uncertainty related to events or conditions that, individually or collectively, may cast significant doubt on the entity's ability to continue as a going concern, where the magnitude of its potential impact and likelihood of occurrence is such that appropriate disclosure of the nature and implications of the uncertainty is necessary for the fair presentation of the financial statements.'

Under ISA (UK) 570, where a material uncertainty related to going concern exists, we are also required to determine whether the financial statements:

- appropriately disclose the principal events or conditions that may cast significant doubt on the entity's ability to continue as a going concern and management's plans to deal with these events or conditions, and
- disclose clearly that there is a material uncertainty related to events or conditions that may cast significant doubt on the entity's ability to continue as a going concern and, therefore, that it may be unable to realise its assets and discharge its liabilities in the normal course of business.

We have discussed with management and explained that as part of our work on going concern for the year ending 31 March 2022 we will do the following:

- Review the period used by Trustee to assess the ability of BHE to continue as a going concern.
- Examine detailed budgets and forecasts prepared by management covering the period of the going concern assessment which adequately take account of the potential impacts of Covid-19, inflation and other economic factors on BHE to ensure these appropriately support the Trustee's conclusion.
- Review the accuracy of past budgets and forecasts by comparing the budget for the current year against actual results for the year.
- Review any other documentation which the Trustee use in assessing the going concern status and make any necessary enquiries of management.

We will agree with management the preparation of a detailed paper setting out their assessment of BHE's ability to continue as a going concern for consideration alongside the draft financial statements by the BHE Board.



Fraud and irregularities and our audit reporting

Obtaining an understanding of internal control relevant to the audit

Our audit tests will combine a review of BHE's controls with tests of detail (substantive procedures) and analytical review procedures.

ISAs require us to document our understanding of your business and assess the risk of material misstatement. For controls considered to be 'relevant to the audit' we are required to evaluate the design of the controls and determine whether they have been implemented. The controls that are determined to be relevant to the audit are those:

- relating to identified risks (including the risk of fraud in revenue recognition) or other audit issues;
- where we are unable to obtain sufficient audit assurance through substantive tests alone; and/or
- where we consider it more efficient to obtain assurance through controls testing.

The results of our work in obtaining an understanding of controls and any subsequent testing of the operational effectiveness of controls will be collated and the impact on the extent of detailed audit testing required will be considered.

Our audit work is not designed to provide assurance as to the overall effectiveness of the controls operating within BHE, although we will report to management, Audit and Risk Committee and BHE Board any recommendations on controls that we may have identified during the course of our work.

The primary responsibility for the prevention and detection of fraud rests with management and "those charged with governance" (i.e. the Trustee and BHE Board), including establishing and maintaining internal controls over the reliability of financial reporting, effectiveness and efficiency of operations and compliance with applicable laws and regulations. As auditors, we obtain reasonable, but not absolute, assurance that the financial statements as a whole are free from material misstatement, whether caused by irregularities including fraud, or error.

Corporate governance and fraud

In line with ISA (UK) 700 our audit report will include an additional comment to explain to what extent the audit was considered capable of detecting irregularities, including fraud.

As auditors, we are required to document an understanding of how "those charged with governance" exercise oversight of management's processes for identifying and responding to the risks of fraud in BHE and the internal controls that management has established to mitigate these risks.

We note that BHE has a structured process for fraud reporting, through its risk management, BHE Board and the Audit and Risk Committee. We have not been made aware of any significant matters which would affect our assessment of audit risk at this stage, although this will need to be reviewed by us, and confirmed by the Trustee, up to the date of approval of the financial statements.

We will make enquiries of management and others within BHE as appropriate, regarding their knowledge of any actual and suspected or alleged fraud affecting BHE. In addition, we will be required to ascertain the following from the BHE Board / Trustee.

- Whether they have knowledge of any fraud or suspected fraud.
- The role that the Audit and Risk Committee / BHE Board / Trustee exercises in oversight of:
 - BHE's assessment of the risks of fraud, and the design and implementation of internal controls to prevent and detect fraud; and
 - ii) their assessment of the risk that the financial statements may be materially misstated as a result of fraud.

We will seek representations from the Trustee on these matters and we will liaise with the finance team, in the first instance, to identify any specific risks or information relevant to these considerations.

Page

5. Staffing, fees and timetable

Staffing

Naziar Hashemi is your Client Service and Tina Allison is your Audit Partner. They will be assisted by James Hay and James Badman as lead Audit Managers. The onsite team will be led by Alex Harby.

Our audit fees

Our proposed audit fee is based on two assumptions.

- First draft financial statements and detailed supporting schedules are available at the commencement of the audit. If this information is not available to us at the start of our audit we may seek to charge additional fees to cover any resulting delays or inefficiencies.
- We are required to check and review up to two further drafts of the financial statements prior to these being finalised for approval by the Trustee. If it is necessary for us to review additional drafts we may charge additional fees to cover any resulting extra staff time.

Based on the above, our fee for the audit of the financial statements of BHE, including checking the consolidation of the group financial statements, will be £75,000. This fee is stated exclusive of VAT and disbursements.

We propose to submit an initial interim fee of £15,000 at the time of issuing this planning report and subsequent fees of £50,000 at the end of the main fieldwork and the final balance of our fees on completion.

To assist you in providing the required information, we will provide a separate list of audit deliverables to the BHE finance team.

Timetable

The anticipated timetable and deadlines are as follows.

Key Events	Date
Initial planning meeting	21 March 2022

Audit and Risk Committee meeting to consider audit plan	24 May 2022
Completion of system notes and walkthroughs along with initial sample selection	w/c 27 June 2022
Audit fieldwork commences and draft financial statements available	4 July 2022
Clearance meeting with finance team	4 August 2022
Audit and Risk Committee meeting to consider accounts and report from the auditors	27 September 2022
BHE Board to approve the accounts	TBC October 2022
Filing deadline at Charity Commission	31 January 2023

Our deliverables to you

In addition to carrying out the necessary audit procedures in accordance with International Standards on Auditing we will provide to you the following.

- Statutory audit reports on the financial statements of BHE.
- This Audit Planning Report to confirm the details of the planned timing of our audit and related year-end meetings, to confirm the key members of your audit team and their independence, and to summarise our audit approach and any specific issues relevant to our audit which we have identified from our initial discussions with BHE's finance team or elsewhere.
- An Audit Findings Report to summarise any key issues or adjustments identified during our audit which have impacted on the disclosures in, or required adjustment to, the draft financial statements together with comments on any weaknesses in BHE's



systems and controls which come to our attention during our audit work on the annual statutory financial statements.

 Draft of the Representations Letter which we are required to obtain from the Trustee to confirm certain specific matters relevant to the completion of the statutory financial statements.

Appendix 1 - Responsibilities and ethical standards

Scope of our audit

Our audit is a statutory requirement to ensure that the Trustee has properly discharged their legal responsibilities to prepare their annual report and the financial statements in accordance with the applicable legislation and financial reporting requirements.

As your auditor we are required to obtain sufficient evidence to enable us to report as to whether the financial statements of BHE give a true and fair view of the financial performance of the entity, are free from material misstatements and are compliant with the requirements of relevant legislation and applicable Financial Reporting Standards.

Your financial statements

The financial statements on which we are to report are your responsibility; our audit of the financial statements does not relieve management or the Trustee of their responsibilities for the financial statements and the Trustee must be satisfied that the financial statements give a true and fair view before approving them. Further details of your and our respective responsibilities are set out in our engagement letters dated which will be shared as soon as possible.

Our audit approach

We will carry out our audit in accordance with International Standards on Auditing (UK) ('ISA's (UK)'). Overall, we seek to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements as a whole are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error, in order that we can report to the Trustee.

Our work will include such tests of transactions and of existence, ownership, valuation and completeness of assets and liabilities that we consider necessary for this purpose.

We will update our understanding of BHE including objectives, strategies, operations, governance structures, sources of incoming resources and related risks. We will also update our understanding of the classes of transactions, account balances, and disclosures to be expected in the financial statements.

We will consider your selection and application of accounting policies and whether they remain appropriate, and your reasons for any changes thereto.

We will review your systems for the purpose of our audit and we will report to you any significant deficiencies in internal control identified during our audit which, in our professional judgment, are of sufficient importance to merit your attention after discussing them with management. Our audit should not, however, be relied upon to identify all systems deficiencies, which are your responsibility, and we shall only draw your attention to matters we have encountered as a part of our audit work.

We will also read the Trustee's Report and any other information that will be included with the financial statements to ensure this is consistent with the financial statements.

We are required to confirm during our audit whether those charged with governance have knowledge of any actual, suspected or alleged fraud affecting BHE. We have been made aware of one incident during the year from our initial discussions but will be requesting further confirmation of no further matters as part of the audit completion process.

Legal and regulatory disclosure requirements

In undertaking our audit work we will consider compliance with the following legal and regulatory disclosure requirements, where relevant:

- Charities Act 2011
- The Charities (Accounts and Reports) Regulations 2008
- The Charities SORP (FRS102)
- Financial Reporting Standard 102

We are not aware that any limitations will be placed on the planned scope of our audit.

Ethical Standard

We are required by the Ethical Standard for auditors issued by the Financial Reporting Council ('FRC') to inform you of all significant facts and matters that may bear upon the integrity, objectivity and independence of our firm.



Crowe U.K. LLP has procedures in place to ensure that its partners and professional staff comply with both the relevant Ethical Standard for auditors and the Code of Ethics adopted by The Institute of Chartered Accountants in England and Wales.

In our professional judgement there are no relationships between Crowe U.K. LLP and BHE or other matters that would compromise the integrity, objectivity and independence of our firm or of the audit partner and audit staff. We are not aware of any further developments which should be brought to your attention.

Independence

International Standards on Auditing (UK) require that we keep you informed of our assessment of our independence.

We confirm that we have not provided any non-audit services to the group. We have not identified any other issues with regards to integrity, objectivity

and independence and, accordingly, we remain independent for audit purposes.

In communicating with those charged with governance of the parent charity and group we consider those charged with governance of the subsidiary entities to be informed about matters relevant to them.

The matters in this report are as understood by us as at May 2022. We will advise you of any changes in our understanding, if any, during our meeting prior to the financial statements being approved.

Use of this report

This report has been provided to the Audit and Risk Committee to consider and ratify on behalf of the BHE Board, in line with your governance structure. We accept no duty, responsibility or liability to any other parties, since this report has not been prepared, and is not intended, for any other purpose. It should not be made available to any other parties without our prior written consent.



Appendix 2 - Audit materiality

Audit materiality and communication of errors and adjustments

We do not seek to certify that the financial statements are 100% correct; rather we use the concept of "materiality" to plan our sample sizes and also to decide whether any errors or misstatements discovered during the audit (by you or us) require adjustment.

The assessment of materiality is a matter of professional judgment but consideration will be given to the highest cumulative error which would not threaten the validity of the financial statements. A matter is material if its omission or misstatement would reasonably influence the economic decisions of a user of the financial statements.

Whether adjustments are material to the "true and fair" view can only be judged in the particular circumstances of the items and their impact on the financial statements to which they relate. Materiality will be considered having regard to the overall financial statement totals, the relevant individual balance, the type of transaction and the disclosures.

As mentioned in Section 1, our overall audit materiality for the financial statements as a whole will take account of the level of funds held by BHE and will be set at approximately 2% of Investments, this measure will be applied to the audit of investments and pension liability.

In addition, we will determine whether a materiality amount lower than this materiality level is applicable for any particular classes of transactions, account balances or disclosures. At planning we have determined a lower materiality of 1.5% of expenditure will be applied to auditing transactions in the statement of financial activities and other balance sheet items.

We will, of course, discuss with your finance team all errors, other than those that are "clearly trivial", that we discover during the course of our audit work. Where such errors would have an impact upon the numbers reported in the statutory financial statements, but are not significant in terms of our audit, we will ask management if they wish to adjust the financial statements.

We will bring to your attention all significant potential adjustments to the financial statements. We will not, however, bring to your attention matters that we consider to be "clearly trivial" and we therefore propose to only identify amounts greater than 5% of our audit materiality.

The following is a summary of the overall materiality levels we apply to the separate entities within the group.

Entity	Materiality calculation	Materiality £'000	Reporting threshold £'000
Bridge House Estates	2% Investments/1.5% Expenditure	33,550/1,340	1,675/65



Appendix 3 - Trustee's Report and Financial Statements

Financial Statements and our audit

The preparation and presentation of the financial statements remains the responsibility of those charged with governance. However, our audit work will include reviewing the statements to ensure that they properly reflect the underlying financial records of the charity and also that they continue to be appropriately prepared in line with the requirements of the Charities SORP (FRS 102) and the requirements of the Charities Act.

As part of our audit we will:

- ensure there is a full audit trail from the trial balance to the financial statements;
- review the financial statements against legal, regulatory and the SORP requirements and sector best practice;
- review the processes operated by BHE for identifying any related party transactions that might require disclosure; and
- review the latest copy of the risk register and ensure any key issues for the financial statements have been considered in the context of our audit, and appropriately managed in the context of the BHE's governance.

Trustee's Report

We expect that your Trustee's Report will include discussions of risks, outcomes, outputs and impacts and information on financial and non-financial KPIs.

Whilst we are required to review the report for any inconsistencies with the information included in the financial statements and to ensure that it reflects the SORP and other requirements, we do not audit the Trustee's Report. The responsibility for preparing the report rests with the charity's Trustee.

Although the Trustee may seek the assistance of the charity's staff in drafting the report, the Trustee must approve the final text of the report. It is therefore important that Trustee has some assurance over the process which management have adopted in the collection and verification of the data included in the Trustee's Report.

It will also be important that BHE continues to ensure consistency between the statutory Trustee's Report information and any information that is included elsewhere including on its website.

Governance Code

The Charity Governance Code was updated in March 2021. The key enhancements focussed on Principle 3: Integrity and Principle 6: Equality, Diversity and Inclusion (formerly 'Diversity'). A copy of the refreshed code can be obtained from the Charity Governance Code website at https://www.charitygovernancecode.org/en/pdf.

The Governance Code encourages charities to publish a brief statement (a short narrative rather than a lengthy 'audit' of policies and procedures) in their annual report explaining their use of the Code and we therefore anticipate that you will be including an appropriate comment on this in your Trustee's Report.

Fundraising Statement

The Trustee's Report will also again need to include an appropriate statement on the various matters relating to the charity's fundraising activities as required by The Charities (Protection and Social Investment) Act 2016.



Appendix 4 - External developments

We have summarised below some of the developments and changes in the charity sector over the recent period which we believe may be of interest and relevant to you. Please note that this information is provided as a summary only and that you should seek further advice if you believe that you have any specific related issues or intend to take or not take action based on any of the comments below.

We believe it is important to keep our clients up to date on the issues that affect them and, as a part of our ongoing communication, we regularly hold seminars and courses. Unfortunately, due to the current Covid-19 restrictions, we have necessarily had to suspend our face to face courses and seminars. Although we hope to be able to return to our seminars and courses in the not too distant future, we are currently working to replace some of these as webinars. We have a number of webinars currently in development and will make these available as soon as we can.

As a result the webinar sessions are likely to be put out with relatively short notice and we therefore encourage you to visit our website (https://www.crowe.com/uk/croweuk/industries/webinars).or register to our mailing list (nonprofits@crowe.co.uk) to stay updated on these. Any webinars which you have missed remain available on demand on our website.

Governance

The Charities Bill: 5 key changes to charity law

The Charities Act 2022 (the Act) received Royal Assent on 24 February 2022 and brings into force a number of key changes to the Charities Act 2011, aimed at simplifying a number of processes.

While the Act comes into force on the date on which it was passed, a number of the provisions of the Act will only come into force when secondary legislation has been passed. It is expected that this will take place on a staggered basis over the next 12-18 months. In addition, the Charity Commission will be updating their guidance and online tools for each change to the Act.

We have set out below some of the key changes.

Governing document amendments

Amendments have been made to the Act to more closely align the rules for charities amending their constitution irrespective of the legal structure. Whilst the Commission will still need to approve any regulated amendments (e.g. changes to the charity's objects), they will now apply the same consistent criteria to approve these.

Permanent endowments

A number of changes have been made in respect of permanent endowments.

Firstly, the definition of permanent endowments has been updated with a simplified definition where property is considered to be a permanent endowment if it is 'subject to a restriction on being expended which distinguishes between income and capital'.

The Charities Act has also been updated to increase the maximum value of a permanent endowment that Trustees can resolve to release restrictions on spending capital from $\mathfrak{L}10,000$ to $\mathfrak{L}25,000$. This power has also been extended to incorporated entities.

In addition, a new provision in the Act will allow Trustees to borrow up to 25% of the value of a charity's permanent endowment subject to the amount being repaid within 20 years of being borrowed.

These new and amended powers will grant Trustees of permanently endowed charities increased flexibility in times of economic uncertainty.

Failed appeals

The Act introduces new rules granting the power for trustees to apply cy-près, allowing charities more flexibility in response to a charity appeal that has failed, allowing donations to be applied for another charitable purposes rather than having to be returned to donors under certain conditions:

- i) The donation is a single gift of £120 or less; and the Trustees reasonably believe that during the financial year the total amount received from the donor for the specific charitable purpose is £120 or less (unless the donor states in writing that the gift must be returned if the charitable purposes fail); or
- ii) The donor, after all agreed actions have been taken, cannot be identified or found: or
- iii) The donor cannot be identified (for example cash collections)

In order to avail themselves of the new rules, Trustees will need to pass a resolution having regard to (a) the desirability of securing that the purposes are, so far as reasonably practicable, similar to the specific charitable purposes for which the money or other property was given; and (b) the need for the purposes to be suitable and effective in the light of current social and economic circumstances.

Where the proceeds are in excess of £1,000 a copy of the resolution, along with a statement of their reasons for passing it must be provided to the Commission. Such a resolution does not take affect until consent is provided by the Commission in writing.

Payments to Trustees

Under the Charities Act 2011, Trustees (or a person connected to the trustee) may receive payment for the provision of services to a charity under certain conditions. The Act extends this provision to allow payment for the provision of goods to a charity.

Ex Gratia Payments

Amendments to the act allow for ex gratia payments to be made without the Commission's consent, up to a maximum of £20,000 depending on the charity's income. In addition, the amendments allow this decision to be delegated to staff.

Mergers

A key amendment to the Act allows for legacies to be transferred to a merged charity. This change will remove the need for 'shell charities' to be maintained and therefore reduce administration costs.

Full details of all the changes can be found here and here.

Responsible investments guidance

The Charity Commission ran a public consultation in April 2021 in respect of updated guidance for responsible investments.

The results of the consultation were published on 18 August 2021, and can be viewed here.

During the consultation two charities were granted permission to bring a case relating to responsible investment to the High Court. They are seeking clarification of the law, with the case heard in April 2022. As a result, the updated guidance is not yet published until impact of the judgement has been fully assessed.

Guidance on hybrid working launch by ACAS

ACAS recently published guidance for employers on hybrid working, following the extended period of remote working as a result of the coronavirus pandemic.

The guidance is broken down into the following five sections:

- Considering hybrid working for your organisation
- Consulting and preparing to introduce hybrid working
- Creating a hybrid working policy
- · Treating staff fairly in hybrid working; and
- Supporting and managing staff in hybrid working

The guidance also considers other legal matters that employers should consider, including data and privacy issues, health and safety issues and working time requirements.

The guidance can be found here.

Charity Commission: 'Take action on fraud'

As part of Charity Fraud Awareness Week, the Charity Commission issued a press release in October 2021 warning charity trustees and management to 'take action on fraud' as new figures show £8million reported lost to crime last year.



The latest data from Action Fraud also shows 1,059 separate incidents of fraud were reported by charities in just one year from April 2020 to March 2021.

Together with the Fraud Advisory Panel, the regulator is urging all trustees to sign up to a new <u>Stop Fraud Pledge</u>, which commits charities to taking six practical actions to reduce the chances of falling victim to fraud.

The pledge includes the following measures:

- Appoint a suitable person (staff member, volunteer or trustee) to champion counter fraud work throughout the organisation
- Ensure that all trustees are aware of their legal duty to protect the charity's assets.
- Consult with staff, volunteers and trustees to identify the types of fraud that threaten the organization and the ways they can be prevented.
- Create a written fraud policy and share it regularly with staff, volunteers and trustees – so that everyone understands what fraud is and how they can help prevent it.
- Perform checks on the individuals and organisations with whom we have a financial relationship.
- Assess annually how well the fraud controls are working, taking into account new risks and making improvements as needed.

Compliance

Safeguarding in international aid

The Charity Commission issued an alert in June 2021 to assist trustees of international aid charities to improve their safeguarding practices.

The alert includes a number of key steps that trustee may consider, including

 making sure policies, communications and ongoing performance management help maintain appropriate behaviours by charity staff and workers to each other and the beneficiaries they serve

- joining the <u>Misconduct Disclosure Scheme</u> to help protect your charity and other organisations in the sector from individuals who pose a safeguarding risk
- giving victims and survivors, and their families and friends, a safe means to report their concerns and complaints
- launching robust and timely investigations into allegations or concerns where they arise

A copy of the alert can be found <u>here</u> along with the Commission's guidance Safeguarding and protection people for charities and trustees.

Government procurement: carbon reduction plans

The Government has introduced new measures which will require businesses to commit to net zero by 2050 and publish clear and credible reductions plans before they can bid for major government contracts.

Under the new measures, for procurements advertised on or after 30 September 2021, suppliers bidding for contracts above $\mathfrak{L}5$ million a year will need to have committed to the government's target of net zero by 2050 and have published a carbon reduction plan.

Carbon Reduction Plans ('CRP') must meet the required standard, and includes (but is not limited to):

- Confirming the bidding supplier's commitment to achieving Net Zero by 2050 for their UK operations.
- Providing the supplier's current emissions for the sources included in Scope 1 and 2 of the GHG Protocol, and a defined subset of Scope 3 emissions.
- Providing emissions reporting in CO2e (Carbon Dioxide Equivalent) for the six greenhouse gases covered by the Kyoto Protocol4
- Setting out the environmental management measures in effect, including certification schemes or specific carbon reduction measures you have adopted, and that you will be able to apply when performing the contract and that support achieving Net Zero by 2050.
- Publication of the CRP on the supplier's website



Further details can be found $\underline{\text{here}}$ along with the Procurement Policy Note issued by the Cabinet Office $\underline{\text{here}}$.

Financial and other reporting

Understand the FX issues impacting INGOs

Our latest report, in association with <u>CharityTransfers.org</u>, draws on research from 114 UK charities with international operations. It provides insight into the big FX challenges and identifies possible areas for improvement.

While the research shows there is work to do, it is in many ways encouraging that most organisations recognise the risks they face, even if they sometimes lack the expertise or resources to manage them. This is particularly important to drive improvement as INGOs face significant financial challenges.

Key points from the report:

Page

- Charity challenges
- Protecting funding from foreign exchange volatility
- Lack of transparency on FX remittance costs
- Lack of competition when making transfers
- Use of banks versus FX brokers
- Transaction challenges
- In-country payments hard versus local currency
- Difficulty setting accurate budget rates
- Use of official policies to manage FX risk

A copy of the report can be obtained <u>here</u>.

COVID-19-related rent concessions beyond 30 June 2021

In June 2021, the Financial Reporting Council updated the amendment to FRS 102 in respect of Covid-19 related rent concessions, extending the period to which the amendments apply to 30 June 2022.

Under the amendments, any reduction in lease payments are recognised over the period that the change in lease payments is intended to compensate. For example, if a lessee is offered a rent holiday such that the rent due for July 2021 to December 2022 is waived, no lease expense would be recognized in that period.

The lessee will also need to disclose the change in lease payments recognised in profit or loss in accordance with the amendments, unless the entity is a small entity applying Section 1A of FRS 102, in which case such a disclosure is recommended.

The effective date for these amendments is accounting periods beginning on or after 1 January 2021, with early application permitted.

A copy of the updated amendment to FRS 102 can be obtained here.

Taxation

Advertising vs Marketing: An update for charities

Charities are allowed to acquire advertising services from suppliers with the zero-rate of VAT but one condition for the relief to apply is that the advertising is made to the general public.

After consultation with the charity and advertising sector, HMRC released its Revenue and Customs Brief 13 in September 2020. The brief indicated that some supplies that are made by suppliers like Facebook could be treated as zero-rated, e.g. audience targeting and location targeting. However, the notice goes on to state that the standard rate of VAT applies to social media accounts because "when individuals log in to their personal pages, sites use tools to apply advertisements to them when they are signed in. The content will be related to the individual's known likes, dislikes, interests or location, as a signed in member of the website."

We have a number of charity clients that are affected by this as they use suppliers like Facebook for a number of fundraising campaigns. As Facebook is based outside the UK it is up to the UK based charity to account for any VAT due and this is often to a large extent irrecoverable. Therefore, we have written to HMRC to seek clarification of its position.

HMRC has been provided with numerous examples of services and the terms and conditions applicable.

Page

HMRC's response states that all supplies of Facebook advertising fall outside of zero-rated advertising. Consequently, 20% VAT must be accounted for by charities on such supplies received from suppliers based outside the UK.

HMRC's position is now clear and unless it is successfully challenged reverse charge VAT should be applied to services received from suppliers such as Facebook.

If VAT has not been applied to these services, HMRC should be notified of the amount of tax due in order that any penalties applicable can be mitigated.

Grant Funding: Business or non-business

Our <u>Insight</u> in January 2021 informed you of the decision of the Upper Tier Tribunal in Colchester Institute and the potential adverse effects it could have upon institutions other than those in the Further Education (FE) sector.

Subsequently there has been a further unsuccessful attempt by a FE College to exploit the decision made by the upper Tier Tribunal (<u>read the transcript</u>) and HMRC has also responded with its guidance in its business brief 08/21.

The decision has a direct application to those in the Further Education sector that receive grant income. However, in theory the conclusion arrived at by the UTT could be applied to any entity that has received grant income that has treated this as 'non-business'.

The decision in Colchester Institute reversed the common opinion that grant income is used to support non-business activities as the court opined that funding from The Skill Funding Agency (SFA) and the Education Funding Agency (EFA) was in fact consideration for supplies of educational services. In theory this could have wide reaching application in relation to the zero-rating of buildings used for charitable purposes, the application of reduce rate VAT to Fuel and Power and recovery of VAT on costs using both the standard method and special methods of partial exemption.

Many VAT commentators have seen the decision by the Tribunal as a threat to common well-founded VAT treatments applied by charities.

HMRC's brief 08/21 does give comfort to the charities' sector as well as the education sector since it essentially confirms that it disagrees with the Tribunal's decision and states that whilst it will not appeal, its policy on grant funded education will not change. Therefore, it appears that HMRC has no motivation to use the decision to serve a wider purpose and disturb well established VAT treatments for the charities' sector. Furthermore, it is willing

to retain the status quo in relation to SFA and EFA funding (i.e. treat the income as non-business).

Three common trading activity VAT issues for charities in 2022

Donated Goods Retail Gift Aid Scheme and VAT

The Retail Gift Aid scheme is used by many charities in order to treat what would have been the sale of donated goods as donations of cash by acting as agent for the owners in selling their goods. This enables the charity to be able to claim Gift Aid.

It is important to note, that from a VAT perspective, this changes the nature of the transaction entirely. If donated goods are sold the shop is making a zero-rated taxable business activity which enables VAT recovery on associated costs. Whereas, if a charity is selling goods on behalf of someone in return for a donation, this is a 'non-business activity' and so while there is no VAT due on the donation, VAT cannot be recovered on the associated costs.

This can result in the shop being required to apply an apportionment to arrive at the correct amount of VAT recoverable in relation to the shop costs so VAT administration increases and VAT recovery is reduced.

Solution: To properly operate the scheme, the charity should charge a VAT bearing commission to the donor of the goods. This does mean a small amount of VAT being paid to HMRC but VAT on associated costs incurred on the shops can be recovered in full.

Lottery ticket sales from shops

Most charities that operate a lottery sell tickets by entering into monthly agreements with customers who buy directly from a head-office. In addition, some tickets may also be sold in charity shops.

Lottery tickets are exempt from VAT, and therefore, no VAT is due on the sales but VAT cannot be recovered on associated costs. This again results in less VAT being recovered by the charity within the shops. It also adds an extra layer of administration as overhead costs of the shop would need to be apportioned.

Solution: In reality, the VAT bearing costs used by the shop to make the lottery/raffle ticket sales is minimal and so application should be made to HMRC to apply a fairer apportionment on shop costs where these sales exist.

Effect of COVID-19 on VAT recovery rates

The COVID-19 pandemic has resulted in many charity shops, cafes and social enterprise activities to close temporarily. This could have an impact on the amount of VAT recoverable on overhead costs, particularly where the charity uses an income-based apportionment as the proxy for recovery (e.g. the standard method of partial exemption). This is because taxable income has been reduced while exempt income may have remained constant. For example, care services in general will have continued during lockdown, while shops/cafes and conference venues have remained closed.

Solution: Apply to HMRC to agree an alternative recovery method for the year. HMRC has released an information sheet which states it will look at these requests sympathetically and has set up a purpose-built inbox to review these applications. We would suggest that charities review their recovery rates to see if there has been, or will be (using a forecast), a heavy reduction input tax recovery so this can be addressed. HMRC's release can be accessed here.

Health and Social Care Levy

U

The government has announced on 8 September 2021 a new Health and Social Care Levy to pay for reforms to the care sector and NHS funding in England.

The levy will apply from April 2022, although will operate slightly differently in 2022–23 compared to future tax years.

From April 2022, the levy will see an increase of 1.25% on the rates of:

- Class 1 Primary and Secondary National Insurance Contributions paid by employees and employers on gross wages, respectively
- Class 1A and Class 1B Contributions paid by employers on benefits provided to employees
- Class 4 National Insurance Contributions paid by the self-employed on profits.

In 2022–23, this will operate as a simple increase of the National Insurance Contributions rates, so only those liable to pay National Insurance Contributions will be subject to the levy.

From 2023–24 onwards, once HMRC have developed new systems, the levy will operate as a separate payment to National Insurance Contributions, and it will also apply to those above the State Pension age, which is currently not

the case for Class 1 Primary and Class 4 National Insurance Contributions. However, existing reliefs for Class 1 Secondary National Insurance Contributions will also apply to the new levy for employers of apprentices under the age of 25, all employees under the age of 21, veterans, and new employees in Freeports (from April 2022). The levy deduction will appear separately on employee payslips.

From an employer perspective, the effective increase in Class 1 Secondary National Insurance Contributions means that employment costs will increase. It is important that employers assess the impact of this increase on their employment costs and assess how it can be funded. Alternatively, employers may wish to consider other means of remunerating their employees, for example, through tax-efficient benefits, which would not be subject to the levy.

12.5% VAT rate applied to hospitality

From 1 October 2021 the VAT rate applied to hospitality changed from 5% to 12.5%. From 15 July 2020 VAT had been chargeable at 5% on:

- on-premises catering and non-alcoholic drinks sold with catering
- hot takeaway food and drinks
- admissions to attractions including theatres and amusement parks
- hotel and holiday accommodation.

This was always intended to be a temporary measure to boost the hospitality sector at this difficult time. Rather than return to 20% VAT in one go, the VAT rate applicable to these goods and services changed to 12.5% where these are supplied between 1 October 2021 and 31 March 2022.

It should be noted that none of the above affects situations where no VAT is chargeable, such as cold takeaway food.

We have produced guidance on actions both suppliers and customers should take here https://www.crowe.com/uk/insights/preparing-for-the-new-vat-rate.

VAT and Covid-19 Testing

HMRC has recently released its policy, Revenue and Customs Brief 11, in relation to the VAT treatment of COVID-19 tests, and is relevant to any organisation who is involved in the provision of tests for COVID-19 or receives these services from suppliers.



HMRC's recent brief has confirmed its policy on the VAT treatment of COVID-19 testing and the requirements for VAT exemption to apply. These should be followed to avoid HMRC issuing VAT assessments and penalties.

HMRC has stated that the medical care exemption will apply in instances where:

- the service incorporates the administration of the test to the patient;
 and
- the provision of the results,
- by a medical professional or somebody supervised by a medical professional such as a qualified registered nurse, doctor or a state regulated institution.

Exemption can still apply where the service is supplied by a non-registered person but the services are 'wholly performed' by a medical professional.

Exemption does not apply where:

- the service is provided by UK Accredited Service or the Care Quality Commission as HMRC does not consider these as being state regulation
- the service is administered by the patient themselves. Therefore, lateral flow tests are standard rated
- tests are supplied by manufacturers to hospitals. pharmacies.

HMRC's policy may be challenged as the application to some scenarios could be complicated and provide results that will appear inequitable.

However, if your organisation's treatment is not in line with the policy corrective action should be taken both retrospectively and going forward.

Furthermore, if a supplier has been applying standard rate VAT where exemption applies, the over-charged VAT can be recovered by seeking a credit from the supplier.

Tax on Covid-19 Grants for charities

Over the last couple of years many charities and/or their trading subsidiaries have claimed payments under the coronavirus job retention scheme (CJRS). The CJRS scheme ended on 30 September 2021 and was replaced by the Job Support Scheme (JSS).

Charities may also have claimed the following Covid grants, or 'coronavirus support payments' (CSP), which include:

- the self-employment income support scheme (SEISS),
- the small business grant fund,
- the retail, hospitality and leisure grant fund, the local authority discretionary grants fund,
- the coronavirus statutory sick pay (SSP) rebate scheme,
- the equivalent grant funds in Scotland, Wales and Northern Ireland
- amounts paid under the test and trace support payment scheme and its equivalent in Scotland and Wales, called self-isolation support payments
- Eat Out to Help Out (EOTHO)

CJRS and other CSP payments are generally stated to be taxable for income or corporation tax – is that the case for charities?

If the payments are to support a charitable (ie a non-taxable) activity of a charity, they are not taxable. If they are to support a non-charitable trade, then they will be included in the profits from that trade, as the expenditure covered will be tax-deductible. If the turnover from the trade is below the de minimis limit for income or corporation tax (currently £80,000 in a tax year, or less if the charity's total income is below £320,000) then the grant payments will not be counted when calculating whether the turnover goes over that limit. However, once the turnover is over that limit, then the CJRS/CSP receipts become taxable income.

If the CJRS or CSP grant relates to two different activities, one charitable and the other non-charitable, then it needs to be apportioned between the two on a reasonable basis.

EOTHO was implemented separately from other CSPs. HMRC guidance for EOTHO states that "You must include the payments you receive as income when you calculate your taxable profits for Income Tax and Corporation Tax purposes".

Reporting CJRS payments



However, when it comes to reporting grant payments to HMRC, there are additional tax return reporting requirements for CJRS, JSS, JRB and EOTHO. The JRB, or Job Retention Bonus, is not yet in operation. The Eat Out To Help Out scheme (EOTHO) applied in August 2020.

Whether or not the amounts received are taxable, they need to be reported on the charity's tax return (if it needs to complete one). On the Corporation tax return there are three boxes for CJRS receipts, boxes 471-473. Box 471 records CJRS payments actually received in the period, Box 472 records entitlement over the same period. If the total in Box 471 is larger than the total in Box 472, then clearly there is an overpayment that must be returned, less any overpayments that have already been reported to HRMC or already assessed (Box 473).

Box 474 relates only to JRB and EOTHO overpayments. EOTHO claims need to be reported in Box 647.

The amount owed to HMRC for CJRS is recorded in Box 526. However, this amount is not added to the total of any corporation tax due. It is treated as income tax, and a separate assessment will be issued to collect it.

For trust and estate returns the reporting requirements are contained in Boxes 21.6A and 21.6B. Amounts entered in box 21.6B will be added to the income tax liability of the Trust or Estate, so it is important that if any overclaimed amounts have already been assessed, they are not included in the amount in this box. The individual amounts claimed need to be reported on the relevant boxes of the supplementary pages.

CJRS claims made by trading subsidiaries

If a subsidiary has made its own CJRS (or other CSP grant) claim then clearly this needs to be recorded on its tax return. However, many charities have claimed CJRS for their employees, and then recharged a portion relating to the employees' work for the trading subsidiary. It is important that the company that has actually claimed the CJRS reports the full amount on its tax return, before any recharges, otherwise confusion will result.



Follow us on:





www.crowe.co.uk

Crowe U.K. LLP is a limited liability partnership registered in England and Wales with registered number OC307043. The registered office is at 2nd Floor, 55 Ludgate Hill, London EC4M 7JW. A list of the LLP's members is available at the registered office. Crowe U.K. LLP is registered to carry on audit work in the UK by the Institute of Chartered Accountants in England and Wales and is authorised and regulated by the Financial Conduct Authority. All insolvency practitioners in the firm are licensed in the UK by the Insolvency Practitioners Association. Crowe U.K. LLP is a member of Crowe Global, a Swiss verein. Each member firm of Crowe Global is a separate and independent legal entity. Crowe U.K. LLP and its affiliates are not responsible or liable for any acts or omissions of Crowe Global or any other member of Crowe Global.

This material is for informational purposes only and should not be construed as financial or legal advice. Please seek guidance specific to your organisation from qualified advisors in your jurisdiction.

This page is intentionally left blank



City's Cash Group and Other Charities of the City of London

Audit Planning Report to the Audit and Risk Committee
Year ending 31 March 2022

Presented to the Audit and Risk Committee on 24 May 2022



Strictly Private and Confidential

The Audit and Risk Committee City of London PO Box 270 Guildhall London EC2P 2EJ

Dear Members of the Audit and Risk Committee.

We have set out in this audit planning report various matters relating to our audits of the financial statements of the City's Cash Group and Other Charities of the City of London for the year ending 31 March 2022 following our initial discussions with Neilesh Kakad, Amanda Luk, Julia Megone and Karen Atkinson on 25 March 2022.

I have pleasure in submitting our audit planning report for the year ending 31 March 2022. The primary purpose of this report is to communicate to the Audit and Risk Committee and those charged with governance relevant matters relating to our forthcoming audits.

I look forward to discussing our report with you, as well as any further matters you may wish to raise with us, and I shall be attending the Audit and Risk Committee meeting with James Hay.

We look forward to working with you on the completion of the audit of the annual report and financial statements of City's Cash and Other Charities of the City of London.

Yours sincerely

Tina Allison Partner



Contents

1.	Executive summary	3
2.	Significant audit risks	
3.	Other areas of audit focus and disclosure	
4.	Fraud and irregularities and our audit reporting	
5.	Staffing, fees and timetable	
Appen		
Appen	dix 2 - Operating environment and group structure	18
Appen	dix 3 - Audit materiality	23
Appen	dix 4 - Annual Report / Trustees' Report and Financial Statements	25
Appen	dix 5 - External developments	26



Executive summary

Our report to you

We are pleased to present our Audit Planning Report to the Audit and Risk Committee and welcome the opportunity to discuss this with you at your meeting on 24 May 2022.

International Standards on Auditing (UK) require that we communicate formally with "those charged with the governance" of City's Cash and the Other Charities of the City of London regarding relevant matters relating to our forthcoming audits. The objectives of this are to:

- ensure that there is a mutual understanding of the scope of the audit and the respective responsibilities of ourselves as auditor and those charged with governance;
- share information to assist both ourselves as auditor and those charged with governance to fulfil their respective responsibilities; and
- provide to those charged with governance constructive observations arising from the audit process.

The matters in this report include

- an overview of the planned scope and timing of the audit
- the significant risks of material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error, and our plans to address these
- our approach to internal control relevant to the audit
- the application of the concept of materiality in the context of an audit
- any other significant matters that, in our professional judgment, are relevant to the oversight of the financial reporting process

We have discussed the above matters in Section 2 to Section 5 of this report.

Responsibilities and ethical standards

We have prepared this report taking account of the responsibilities of those charged with governance and ourselves set out in <u>Appendix 1</u> of this report.

Operating environment and group structure

Our understanding of the operations and considerations in respect of the City's Cash group structure and details of the Other Charities are set out in Appendix 2.

Audit materiality

Our overall audit materiality for the financial statements as a whole will take account of the level of activity / funds held by each entity. Materiality thresholds will be set as 2% Investments, 1.5-2% Income or 2% expenditure as appropriate for the entity concerned.

Further details of materiality levels, including those of components and the other non-consolidated charities, are set out in <u>Appendix 3</u>.

Annual Report\ Trustees' Report and Financial Statements

We have set out in <u>Appendix 4</u> a number of considerations to be taken by those charged with governance and management when preparing the financial statements for the year ending 31 March 2022.

Audit reports

Please note that, while the financial statements are in draft form, the draft audit reports should contain the words "This report has not yet been signed" in the space for our signature. We will agree with you when this wording can be removed.



Significant audit risks

Our audit work will take account of our assessment of the risks of misstatement of transactions and balances in the financial statements. We identify a range of risks from our understanding of each entity, its people and environment, and the system of internal control and plan our audit work so as to reduce the risk of material misstatement to an acceptable level. We also identify which of those risks require special audit attention ("significant risks" or "specific risks"). Significant risk is considered in the context of how, and the degree to which, inherent risk factors affect the likelihood and magnitude of a misstatement occurring. Such factors may be qualitative or quantitative, and include complexity, subjectivity, change, uncertainty or susceptibility to misstatement due to management bias or other fraud risk factors.

To assist in the understanding of these risks we have identified one or more of six potential reasons, as defined by ISA 315, for the increased audit risk. In addition, we also consider other factors which may give rise to significant risks, such as a history of previous issues or the matter involves significant judgement.

1. Fraud risk

age

- 2. Recent significant economic, accounting or other developments
- 3. Complexity of the transactions
- 4. Financial information involves a wide range of measurement uncertainty
- 5. Involves significant transactions with related parties
- 6. Significant transactions that are unusual / outside the normal course of business for the entity
- 7. Other specific factors

Our risk assessment process is tailored to each individual entity, and as such, the risks outlined below do not apply to all entities covered within this report. As such, we have included in the table below an indication as to which entities each risk applies to.

- A. City's Cash (Parent)
- **B.** Open Spaces Charities
- C. Power Station Companies
- D. Other Charites within the Corporation Subject to Audit

Significant risk identified	Risks	Key related judgements	Crowe response
Revenue recognition – Investment property income (A)	3	Investment property income is one of the largest revenue streams for City's Cash, totalling £72.1m in 2020/21. Whilst comprising mostly of routinely invoiced income, the Covid-19 pandemic has led to the introduction of rent-free periods and rent holidays requiring more complex accounting. In addition, the quarterly invoicing pattern usually followed, leads to the need to partially defer invoiced income at yearend.	As part of our audit work we will include the following tests: • We will review the income recognition policy, ensuring it is in line with SORP requirements and is being appropriately applied and disclosed;



Significant risk identified	Risks	Key related judgements	Crowe response
		This revenue stream also includes revenue released from deferred lease premiums attached to long term leases where City's Cash is the lessor.	 Document and review the systems and controls in place over investment property income.
		Given the relative size of this revenue stream and complexities arising over cut-off and lease accounting, we consider there to be a significant	 This is a key area of control to ensure that you are recognising all income that is due and closely manage and monitor the debtor ledger;
		risk over this revenue stream.	 We will carry out analytical procedures and substantive testing on all income streams including reconciliations to the relevant systems and other records;
			 Review a sample of transactions and bank receipts either side of the year end date to ensure these have been recognised in the appropriate period;
			 Obtain a breakdown of investment property income for the year and reconcile to the trial balance;
			 Verify a sample of property receipts to supporting tenancy agreements and invoices;
			 Review the year-end deferred income balances, testing a sample to support and re-calculating the split of any invoices as appropriate; and
			 Review the long term lease premium accounting treatments to ensure they have been accounted for in accordance with the relevant accounting standards, and that they are being released correctly.
Revenue recognition – Financial investment income (A, D)	4	Investment income In City's Cash and the City of London Charities Pool is derived from the various investment holdings including listed investments, private equity, multi-asset and infrastructure fund holdings and bank deposits. City's Cash and the City of London Charities Pool coinvests with the City of London Pension Fund and Bridge House Estates into a number of holdings, with a portion of the value and investment income then apportioned to each entity from this central pool.	 Our audit testing in this area will include: Agreeing the income reported in the investment managers' reports and bank interest to the nominal ledger and review cut off to check that the income has been appropriately recognised;

Crowe response



Significant risk identified

Risks

Key related judgements

Page			The Charities Pool entity acts as a pooled investment vehicle for the smaller charities within the City of London, responsible for managing their collective portfolios and dividing any income received in proportion to the units the other charities hold in the entity. In addition, Hampstead Heath Trust holds a standalone portfolio (2021: £32.8m) along with Sir William Coxen Trust Fund (2021: £2.5m) which both also generate income through interest and dividends. The primary risk for this revenue stream is over the accuracy of the central split of the income allocated to City's Cash and the Charities Pool and in turn the entities invested in the Pool, as well as the completeness of the investment income reported for the year in each entity, where it might be necessary to accrue for income not yet received.	 Reviewing the relevant AAF01/06 controls reports for the investment managers and custodians to gain assurance that income is being reported accurately to the Corporation; Reviewing the allocation of investment income to City's Cash and the Charities Pool, ensuring it is in line with the proportion of the investment holdings allocated to each entity; and Reviewing the split of investment income to the charities holding units in the Charities Pool, to ensure it has been calculated correctly and income for the full year has been allocated.
37	Revenue recognition – Education income (A)	1	Income through tuition and other related fees is one of the primary revenue streams in City's Cash, amounting to £74.2m in 2021. This income stream is generated from the three schools and one higher education body that the entity operates; City of London School, City of London School for Girls, City of London Freemen's School and Guildhall School of Music and Drama. The Covid-19 pandemic had a significant impact on the operations of these schools and we understand that pupil numbers have fallen slightly as a result. The schools have, in addition, been offering discounts to pupils attending where parents are suffering financial hardship from the pandemic, though we gather this is less common in the 2021/22 year. We consider the primary risks to lie over the completeness of this income – ensure correct cut-off of termly invoices at year-end along with the correct application of any discounts – as well as the existence of the income (i.e. that the pupils for which invoices are raised exist).	 As part of our testing, we will: Gain an understanding of the systems and controls in place around education income, including controls over pupil management and invoicing at each school; Complete a proof-in-total over education fee income at each school using pupil data and fixed tuition fees lists for each school; Complete testing on the underlying inputs into this proof in total, including any discounts offered in the year; Review a sample tuition and other education fee income, agreeing it to support and receipt to bank; and



	Significant risk identified	Risks	Key related judgements	Crowe response
				 Perform cut-off testing around the year end to ensure income has been recognised in the correct years and income has been deferred appropriately.
	Revenue recognition – Market income (A)	3	Market income consists of rental and similar income from the markets that City's Cash operates, being Billingsgate and Smithfield. Whilst comprising primarily of routinely invoiced income, the Covid-19 pandemic has led to the introduction of rent-free periods and rent holidays requiring more complex accounting. In addition, the quarterly invoicing pattern usually followed leads to the need to partially defer invoiced income at year-end. This revenue stream also includes revenue from related non-rental sources such as service charge and car parking income. Given the relative size of this revenue stream we consider there to be a significant risk over this revenue stream, primarily over cut-off and completeness.	 As part of our audit work we will include the following tests: Review a sample of transactions and bank receipts either side of the year end date to ensure these have been recognised in the appropriate period.; Obtain a breakdown of market income for the year and reconcile to the trial balance; Verify a sample of market income receipts to supporting agreements and invoices; and Review the year-end deferred income balances, testing a sample to support and re-calculating the split of any invoices as appropriate.
	Revenue recognition — Charitable activities (B, D)	1, 3	In addition to the funding received from City's Cash, the various charities within the City's Cash group generate revenue through a variety of activities. This includes revenue generated from sources such as car parking, café sales, use of sports grounds and admission fees. Due to the varying nature of these revenue streams each requiring different recognition criteria to be considered, we consider there to be a significant risk for this revenue stream.	 Our audit approach over these revenue streams will include: Obtaining an understanding of systems and controls over all material revenue streams within this category; Reviewing the revenue recognition policy for each material revenue stream to ensure it is compliant with the applicable accounting standards; Testing a sample of charitable activity income substantively, agreeing it to supporting documentation and receipt to bank; and Performing cut-off testing by reviewing transactions around year-end.



	Significant risk identified	Risks	Key related judgements Crowe response			
	Revenue recognition – non-significant risks		Our consideration and approach to income streams that are not considered to be significant risks is set out in Section 3.			
	Estimates and judgements – Financial investment valuation (A, B, D)	1,3,4	 The financial investments portfolio within City's Cash represented £932.5m as at 31 March 2021. There is a risk with regard to the existence / ownership of the assets in the investment portfolio and their correct valuation. As the investments are held and managed by third party service providers it is important that: the Entity has sufficient controls in place to mitigate the risks associated with outsourcing services; and the controls in operation by the third party service provider over the ownership and management of the Entity's assets and their associated income streams are sufficiently robust. 	Our focus will be on your own internal procedures to manage and control the investments as well as the controls being operated by both the investment managers and the custodian, including consideration of the relevant AAF01/06 controls reports. We will obtain valuations directly from the investment managers. We will review the reconciliations between the reports from the investment managers and the custodian's report and the records independently maintained to confirm ownership and to identify potential anomalies or significant movements in the year (particularly in relation to purchases and disposals). We will also review the cash flows to, from and between the investment managers and the tracking of these movements.		
	Estimates and judgements – Investment property valuation (A)	1,3,4	Investment properties held by City's Cash totalled £1,991m as at 31 March 2021. It is our understanding that these properties are valued independently by two firms registered as valuers with the Royal Institution of Chartered Surveyors ("RICS") as at 31 March each year. Investment properties are carried in the financial statements at fair value. FRS102 requires revaluation to be made with sufficient regularity to ensure that the carrying value does not differ materiality from that which would be determined using fair value at the reporting date.	We will review the investment property valuation report with consideration to judgements and estimates used by the valuer with reference to market data. We will also test the inputs provided to the valuer by the entity and the ownership status via land registry. We will also review the valuation adjustment and ensure any gains/losses on revaluation have been appropriately recognised in the Statement of Comprehensive Income.		
	Estimates and judgements – pension liability	1,3,4	The assumptions surrounding the FRS102 pension liability calculations performed by the actuaries can make a significant difference to the result disclosed in the financial statements.	Our audit testing will include the following:		



Significant risk identified	Risks	Key related judgements	Crowe response
(A)		The City Corporation operates a funded defined benefit pension scheme, The City of London Pension Fund, for its staff employed on activities relating predominantly to the three principal funds for which it is responsible (City Fund, City's Cash and Bridge House Estates). At present, City's Cash includes the pension scheme liability in the accounts as reported under IAS19, with a conversion not made to FRS102 on the grounds of the difference not being material. There is a risk that this difference may in fact be material or otherwise significant. Estimates and judgements that are not considered to be significant risks are set out in Section 3.	 Benchmarking the assumptions used by the actuary in calculating the FRS102 pension liability; Assessing the difference in calculating the liability between IAS19 and FRS102 to determine whether it is material or otherwise significant; Verifying scheme assets to third party documentation; and Verifying (on a sample basis) the input data provided to the actuary to HR and payroll records. Verify the apportionment methodology of the pension liability across the 3 City of London funds.
Estimates and judgements – Decommissioning provision (A)	1,3,4	Included within the accounts of Barking Power Limited is a provision for the decommissioning of the site in preparation for future development. This provision has a number of key assumptions regarding expected costs and the time period over which they will be incurred. Given the size of the provision and its reliance on judgemental inputs, we consider there to be a significant risk over the valuation of the provision.	 As part of our audit work in this area, we will: Obtain and review management's estimation of the provision; Gain an understanding of the key inputs to the provision calculation, agreeing them to supporting documentation as appropriate; and Review costs incurred post year-end to ensure that they are in line with management's forecast to corroborate the accuracy of the provision made.
Management override of controls, including through journal adjustments (A, B, C, D)	1	Although the level of risk of management override of controls varies from entity to entity, Auditing Standards recognise that this risk is nevertheless present in all entities because of management's ability to manipulate accounting records and prepare fraudulent financial statements by overriding controls that otherwise appear to be operating effectively. Due to the unpredictable way in which such override could occur, including to mask fraud, the override of controls is a significant risk for all audits. Those charged with governance must satisfy themselves that the control environment present within the entity together with their controls and	 Our audit work will include the following: Understanding and evaluating the financial reporting process and the controls over journal entries and other adjustments made in the preparation of the financial statements and testing the appropriateness of a sample of such entries and adjustments; Reviewing accounting estimates for biases that could result in material misstatement due to fraud; and Obtaining an understanding of the business rationale of significant transactions that we



Significant risk identified		Risks	Key related judgements	Crowe response	
			controls over the posting of journals are adequate to deter any inappropriate override of controls from management.	become aware of that are outside the normal course of business or that otherwise appear to be unusual given our understanding of each entity and its environment.	

3. Other areas of audit focus and disclosure

We have also noted the following matters from our initial discussions as not having significant or specific audit risk but being potentially relevant to the financial statements.

Income

Page 42

International Standards on Auditing (ISA 240) presumes there is always a significant risk of material misstatement due to fraud in revenue recognition, unless this is rebutted.

Whilst we deem the income streams detailed above to be significant (see <u>Section 2</u>) we do not consider other income streams to be significant due to their expected immaterial nature. If this does not transpire to be the case, we will perform a risk assessment of this revenue stream and report this to you within our Findings Report.

Across all income streams the key risks remain the same:

- Completeness (has all income due been appropriately recognised in the period?).
- Benefit (has income been recognised in the appropriate period?).
- Fund allocation (have donor restrictions on the use of the income been appropriately captured in the financial statements?).
- Accuracy (where income is owed at year end, is it likely to be received or should it be provided against?).

Judgements and estimates

ISA (UK) 540 Auditing Accounting Estimates and Related Disclosures requires additional audit focus over management's estimates, including undertaking separate risk assessments for both inherent and control risks. In respect of the former, consideration is required of the estimation uncertainty, the subjectivity and the complexity of the estimate. We are also required to consider whether the disclosures made in the financial statements are reasonable.

We will pay careful attention to areas of the financial statements affected by management judgement and estimation. We have initially identified the following for specific review which are not outlined in the significant audit risks detailed in the previous section.

- Assessment of impairment of assets.
- Assessment of impairment of goodwill (City's Cash)
- Assessment of the remaining useful life of assets.
- The classification of accounts between short term investments and cash and cash equivalents.
- The split of recharged expenditure between the various entities of the City of London Corporation.

We will identify all areas where an accounting estimate or judgment is used and we will obtain an update from management on the basis of the estimates.

We will consider whether these have high or low estimation uncertainty. Where there is high estimation uncertainty (primarily, if there is a range of reasonable outcomes which exceeds our materiality) this indicates a "significant risk". We will compare the estimates and judgments made in the prior period with actual outcomes.

We will also review management's assessment of this and specifically consider whether the estimates and judgments arrived at by management indicate any "management bias". This means that management will also need to consider whether there is any bias in information received from other departments. It is important that you are satisfied that the assumptions used by management are appropriate and we will ask you to provide a written representation to us to confirm this.

Payroll

Payroll is one of the largest single expenditure items for City's Cash totalling £94.2m in 2021. Other entities under the scope of this report also incur significant payroll costs, which are recharged from the central payroll function within the Corporation of London.

As part of our audit we will review the controls in place over monthly processing including the reconciliation of the payroll to the nominal ledger. We will also perform analytical procedures that consider gross pay, deductions

Grant Expenditure

As part of the Corporation of London's response to the Covid-19 pandemic. we understand that City's Cash is funding a £50m business support scheme. of which c.£10m has been spent during the 2021/22 year. This consist of multiple grants of c.£100k aimed to support businesses within the City of London. Whilst these grants are not expected to have any performance related conditions which might create significant judgement over the recognition of expenditure, the volume and total value of the grants awarded. As part of our testing, we will agree a sample of grants to supporting documentation and payment, and review the agreements to ensure they have been appropriately recognised. We will also perform cut-off testing around year-end in order to ensure that grants have been recognised within the correct financial period.

and staff numbers year on year to ensure that all trends and relationships

costs to supporting documentation on a sample basis.

appear reasonable and that the totals agree with the ledger, and we will verify a sample of staff between the payroll and other HR records and agree their

Going concern and our audit reporting

In preparing the financial statements to comply with Financial Reporting Standard 102 the Trustees/Members/Directors (as applicable) are required to make an assessment of the entity's ability to continue as a going concern. In assessing whether the going concern assumption is appropriate, those charged with governance are required to consider all available information about the future of the entity in the period of at least, but not limited to, twelve months from the date when the financial statements are approved and authorised for issue. In forming conclusions on going concern those charged with governance will need to evaluate which of three potential outcomes is appropriate to the specific circumstances each entity, including the City's Cash group and parent. Those charged with governance may conclude:

- there are no material uncertainties that lead to significant doubt upon the entity's ability to continue as a going concern:
- there are material uncertainties that lead to significant doubt upon the entity's ability to continue as a going concern; or
- the use of the going concern basis is not appropriate.

A material uncertainty is defined as 'An uncertainty related to events or conditions that, individually or collectively, may cast significant doubt on the entity's ability to continue as a going concern, where the magnitude of its potential impact and likelihood of occurrence is such that appropriate disclosure of the nature and implications of the uncertainty is necessary for the fair presentation of the financial statements.'

Under ISA (UK) 570, where a material uncertainty related to going concern exists, we are also required to determine whether the financial statements:

- appropriately disclose the principal events or conditions that may cast significant doubt on the entity's ability to continue as a going concern and management's plans to deal with these events or conditions; and
- disclose clearly that there is a material uncertainty related to events or conditions that may cast significant doubt on the entity's ability to continue as a going concern and, therefore, that it may be unable to realise its assets and discharge its liabilities in the normal course of business.

We have discussed with management and explained that as part of our work on going concern for the year ending 31 March 2022 we will do the following.

- Review the period used by those charged with governance to assess the ability of each entity to continue as a going concern:
- Examine detailed budgets and forecasts prepared by management covering the period of the going concern assessment which adequately take account of the potential impacts of Covid-19, inflation and other economic factors on City's Cash or the other entities to ensure these appropriately support the conclusion of those charged with governance:
- Review the accuracy of past budgets and forecasts by comparing the budget for the current year against actual results for the year; and
- Review any other documentation which those charged with governance use in assessing the going concern status and make any necessary enquiries of management.

We will agree with management the preparation of a detailed paper setting out their assessment of each entity's ability to continue as a going concern for consideration alongside the draft financial statements by the Audit and Risk Committee.



Fraud and irregularities and our audit reporting

Obtaining an understanding of internal control relevant to the audit

Our audit tests will combine a review of City's Cash's and other charities' controls with tests of detail (substantive procedures) and analytical review procedures.

ISAs require us to document our understanding of your business and assess the risk of material misstatement. For controls considered to be 'relevant to the audit' we are required to evaluate the design of the controls and determine whether they have been implemented. The controls that are determined to be relevant to the audit are those:

- relating to identified risks (including the risk of fraud in revenue recognition) or other audit issues;
- where we are unable to obtain sufficient audit assurance through substantive tests alone; and/or
- where we consider it more efficient to obtain assurance through controls testing.

The results of our work in obtaining an understanding of controls and any subsequent testing of the operational effectiveness of controls will be collated and the impact on the extent of detailed audit testing required will be considered.

Our audit work is not designed to provide assurance as to the overall effectiveness of the controls operating within each entity, although we will report to management and the Audit and Risk Committee any recommendations on controls that we may have identified during the course of our work. The primary responsibility for the prevention and detection of fraud rests with management and "those charged with governance" (i.e. the Council Members, Trustees and/or Directors as appropriate for each entity), including establishing and maintaining internal controls over the reliability of financial reporting, effectiveness and efficiency of operations and compliance with applicable laws and regulations. As auditors, we obtain reasonable, but not absolute, assurance that the financial statements as a whole are free from material misstatement, whether caused by irregularities including fraud, or error.

Corporate governance and fraud

In line with ISA (UK) 700 our audit report will include an additional comment to explain to what extent the audit was considered capable of detecting irregularities, including fraud. As auditors, we are required to document an understanding of how "those charged with governance" exercise oversight of management's processes for identifying and responding to the risks of fraud in each entity and the internal controls that management has established to mitigate these risks.

We note that the City of London has a structured process for fraud reporting, through its risk management and the Audit and Risk Committee. We have not been made aware of any significant matters within the entities falling into the scope of this report which would affect our assessment of audit risk at this stage, although this will need to be reviewed by us, and confirmed by the Members, up to the date of approval of the financial statements.

We will make enquiries of management and others within City's Cash and the other charities as appropriate, regarding their knowledge of any actual and suspected or alleged fraud affecting each entity. In addition, we will be required to ascertain the following from the Audit and Risk Committee and Trustees and Directors (as appropriate).

- Whether they have knowledge of any fraud or suspected fraud.
- The role that the Audit and Risk Committee / Trustees / Directors exercise in oversight of:
 - Each entity's assessment of the risks of fraud, and the design and implementation of internal controls to prevent and detect fraud; and
 - ii) their assessment of the risk that the financial statements may be materially misstated as a result of fraud.

We will seek representations from the Trustees / Directors / Members (as appropriate) on these matters and we will liaise with the finance team, in the first instance, to identify any specific risks or information relevant to these considerations.



5. Staffing, fees and timetable

Staffing

Naziar Hashemi is your Client Service Partner and Tina Allison is your Audit Partner. They will be assisted by James Hay and James Badman as lead Audit Managers. The onsite teams this year will be led by Sean O'Callaghan and Varsha Gadhvi.

Our audit fees

As in previous years our proposed audit fee is based on two assumptions.

- First draft financial statements and detailed supporting schedules are available at the commencement of the audit. If this information is not available to us at the start of our audit we may seek to charge additional fees to cover any resulting delays or inefficiencies.
- We are required to check and review up to two further drafts of the financial statements prior to these being finalised for approval by those charged with governance. If it is necessary for us to review additional drafts we may charge additional fees to cover any resulting extra staff time.

Based on the above, our fee for the audits of the financial statements of City's Cash (including its subsidiary entities), including checking the consolidation of the group financial statements, will be $\mathfrak{L}144,750$. This fee is stated exclusive of VAT and disbursements.

We propose to submit an initial interim fee of £29,000 at the time of issuing this planning report and subsequent fees of £96,500 at the end of the main fieldwork and the final balance of our fees on completion in November.

Our fee for the audits and Independent Examinations of the financial statements of the Other Charities, as listed in Appendix 2, will be £43,250. This fee is stated exclusive of VAT and disbursements.

We propose to submit an initial interim fee of £9,000 at the time of issuing this planning report and subsequent fees of £29,000 at the end of the main fieldwork and the final balance of our fees on completion in September.

To assist you in providing the required information, we will provide a separate list of audit deliverables to the City of London finance team.

Timetable

The anticipated timetable and deadlines for City's Cash (including the Power Station entities but excluding the Open Spaces) are as follows.

Key Events	Date	
Initial planning meeting	25 March 2022	
Audit and Risk Committee meeting to consider audit plan	24 May 2022	
Completion of system notes and walkthroughs	w/c 27 June 2022	
Audit fieldwork commences and draft financial statements available	1 August 2022	
Clearance meeting with finance team	TBC September 2022	
Audit and Risk Committee meeting to consider accounts and report from the auditors	22 November 2022	
Accounts signed by Members	TBC November 2022	





The anticipated timetable and deadlines for the Open Spaces and Other Charities are as follows.

Key Events	Date
Initial planning meeting	25 March 2022
Audit and Risk Committee meeting to consider audit plan	24 May 2022
Completion of system notes and walkthroughs	w/c 27 June 2022
Audit fieldwork commences and draft financial statements available (entities without capital items)	18 July 2022
Audit fieldwork commences and draft financial statements available (entities with capital items)	1 August 2022
Clearance meeting with finance team	TBC August 2022
Audit and Risk Committee meeting to consider the Other Charities accounts and report from the auditors	27 September 2022
Other charities accounts signed by Trustees	TBC October 2022
Audit and Risk Committee meeting to consider the Open Spaces accounts and report from the auditors	22 November 2022
Open Spaces accounts signed by Trustees/Members	TBC November 2022
Filing deadline at Charity Commission	31 January 2023

Our deliverables to you

In addition to carrying out the necessary audit procedures in accordance with International Standards on Auditing we will provide to you the following.

- Statutory audit reports on the financial statements of each entity.
- This Audit Planning Report to confirm the details of the planned timing
 of our audit and related year-end meetings, to confirm the key
 members of your audit team and their independence, and to
 summarise our audit approach and any specific issues relevant to our
 audit which we have identified from our initial discussions with the City
 of London's finance team or elsewhere.
- An Audit Findings Report to summarise any key issues or adjustments identified during our audit which have impacted on the disclosures in, or required adjustment to, the draft financial statements together with comments on any weaknesses in the City of London's systems and controls which come to our attention during our audit work on the annual statutory financial statements.
- Draft of the Representations Letters which we are required to obtain from the Trustees / Directors / Members (as applicable) to confirm certain specific matters relevant to the completion of the statutory financial statements.

Page 2

Appendix 1 - Responsibilities and ethical standards

Scope of our audits

Our audits are a statutory requirement to ensure that Those Charged with Governance of each entity have properly discharged their legal responsibilities to prepare their annual reports and the financial statements in accordance with the applicable legislation and financial reporting requirements.

As your auditor we are required to obtain sufficient evidence to enable us to report as to whether the financial statements of each entity give a true and fair view of the financial performance of the entities, are free from material misstatements and are compliant with the requirements of relevant legislation and applicable Financial Reporting Standards.

Your financial statements

The financial statements on which we are to report are your responsibility; our audit of the financial statements does not relieve management or the Trustees / directors of their responsibilities for the financial statements and the Trustees / directors must be satisfied that the financial statements give a true and fair view before approving them. Further details of your and our respective responsibilities are set out in our engagement letters which will be provided

Our audit approach

We will carry out our audit in accordance with International Standards on Auditing (UK) ('ISA's (UK)'). Overall, we seek to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements as a whole are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error, in order that we can report to the Trustees / members of each entity.

Our work will include such tests of transactions and of existence, ownership, valuation and completeness of assets and liabilities that we consider necessary for this purpose.

We will update our understanding of each entity including objectives, strategies, operations, governance structures, sources of incoming resources and related risks. We will also update our understanding of the classes of transactions, account balances, and disclosures to be expected in the financial statements. We will consider your selection and application of

accounting policies and whether they remain appropriate, and your reasons for any changes thereto.

We will review your systems for the purpose of our audit and we will report to you any significant deficiencies in internal control identified during our audit which, in our professional judgment, are of sufficient importance to merit your attention after discussing them with management. Our audit should not, however, be relied upon to identify all systems deficiencies, which are your responsibility, and we shall only draw your attention to matters we have encountered as a part of our audit work.

We will also read the Trustees' / Directors' / Strategic Reports and any other information that will be included with the financial statements to ensure this is consistent with the financial statements.

We are required to confirm during our audit whether those charged with governance have knowledge of any actual, suspected or alleged fraud affecting each entity. We have not been made aware of any such issues from our initial discussions but will be requesting confirmation of this as part of the audit completion process.

Legal and regulatory disclosure requirements

In undertaking our audit work we will consider compliance with the following legal and regulatory disclosure requirements, where relevant for each entity:

- Charities Act 2011
- Companies Act 2006
- The Charities (Accounts and Reports) Regulations 2008
- The Charities SORP (FRS102)
- Financial Reporting Standard 102

We are not aware that any limitations will be placed on the planned scope of our audits.



Directors' responsibilities (power station entities only)

Under the provisions of the Companies Act, the Directors' Report is required to include a statement confirming for each director who was a director at the time of the approval of the financial statements that:

- they have each taken all the steps that they ought to have taken as a
 director in order to make themselves aware of any relevant audit
 information and to establish that the company's auditor is aware of that
 information; and
- so far as they are aware there is no relevant audit information of which the company's auditor is unaware.

Ethical Standard

We are required by the Ethical Standard for auditors issued by the Financial Reporting Council ('FRC') to inform you of all significant facts and matters that may bear upon the integrity, objectivity and independence of our firm.

Crowe U.K. LLP has procedures in place to ensure that its partners and professional staff comply with both the relevant Ethical Standard for auditors and the Code of Ethics adopted by The Institute of Chartered Accountants in England and Wales.

In our professional judgement there are no relationships between Crowe U.K. LLP and each entity or other matters that would compromise the integrity, objectivity and independence of our firm or of the audit partner and audit staff.

We are not aware of any further developments which should be brought to your attention.

Independence

International Standards on Auditing (UK) require that we keep you informed of our assessment of our independence.

We confirm that we have not provided any non-audit services to any of the entities. We have not identified any other issues with regards to integrity, objectivity and independence and, accordingly, we remain independent for audit purposes.

In communicating with those charged with governance of the parent entity and group we consider those charged with governance of the subsidiary entities to be informed about matters relevant to them.

The matters in this report are as understood by us as at May 2022. We will advise you of any changes in our understanding, if any, during our meeting prior to the financial statements being approved.

Use of this report

This report has been provided to the Audit and Risk Committee to consider and ratify on behalf of those charged with governance, in line with your governance structure. We accept no duty, responsibility or liability to any other parties, since this report has not been prepared, and is not intended, for any other purpose. It should not be made available to any other parties without our prior written consent.



Appendix 2 – Entities

Entities	tities Type Main objectives		Audit/Independent Examination
Consolidated Entities			
Ashtead Common	Charity	The objective of the charity is the preservation in perpetuity of the common at Ashtead as an open space for the recreation and enjoyment of the public.	IE
Burnham Beeches	Charity	The objectives of the charity are the preservation and maintenance of Burnham Beeches and Stoke common, as Open Spaces for the recreation and enjoyment of the public and to maintain their natural aspect.	Audit
Epping Forest	Charity	The objective of the charity is the preservation of Epping Forest in perpetuity, as an open space for the recreation and enjoyment of the public. The open space consists of the lands known as Epping Forest including Wanstead Park and Highams Park in Essex. Various buffer lands have been acquired by the City Corporation around the edges of Epping Forest.	Audit
Hampstead Heath/Hampstead Heath Trust	Charity	The objective of the charity is the preservation and maintenance of Hampstead Heath in perpetuity, as an open space for the recreation and enjoyment of the public.	Audit
Highgate Wood and Queen's Park Kilburn	Charity	The objective of the charity is the maintenance and preservation in perpetuity of the open spaces known as Highgate Wood and Queen's Park Kilburn, as public parks or open spaces for use by the public for exercise and recreation.	Audit
West Ham Park	Charity	The objectives of the charity are to hold West Ham Park on trust forever "as open public grounds and gardens for the resort and recreation for adults and as playground for children and youth". The City of London Corporation agreed to maintain and preserve the Park for this purpose at its own cost.	Audit

Audit

ΙE



West Wickham and

Charity

Charity

Spring Park Wood, Coulsdon and Other Commons	Charity	Common and Spring Park Wood Coulsdon, as Open Spaces for the recreation and enjoyment of the public.	Audit
Sir Thomas Gresham	Charity	The objectives of the charity are the provision of eight Almshouses known as the Gresham Almshouses; the annual payment of an allowance to the alms folk; and the annual payment to the four Gresham college lecturers as detailed below.	IE
Barking Power Limited	Company	Decommissioning of the power station is the principal business of the Company and, because if receives minimal external revenue, this is financed by a loan from the City of London Corporation.	Audit
Thames Power Services Limited	Company	To provide management services to Barking Power Limited in connection with operation and decommissioning of Barking Power Station.	Audit
Other Entities			
Corporation of London Charities Pool	Charity	The key objective of the charity is to provide small charities linked with the City of London the opportunity to obtain better returns than could generally be achieved if investments were made individually.	Audit
City Education Trust Fund	Charity	The purposes for which the City Educational Trust Fund was established under section 25 of the City of London Various Powers Act 1967 declared that the capital and interest of the fund shall be applied by the City of London Corporation as it thinks fit.	ΙΕ
City of London School Bursary and Awards Fund	Charity	The objective of the charity is the promotion of education.	Audit
City of London School for Girls Bursary Fund and City of London School for Girls Scholarships and Prizes Fund	Charity	The objective of the charity is the promotion of education (including physical training) by the provision of bursaries and other forms of financial assistance for fees and/or other costs incurred through attendance at the School to enable pupils to further their education at the School.	Audit
	Spring Park Wood, Coulsdon and Other Commons Sir Thomas Gresham Barking Power Limited Thames Power Services Limited Other Entities Corporation of London Charities Pool City Education Trust Fund City of London School Bursary and Awards Fund City of London School for Girls Bursary Fund and City of London School for Girls Scholarships and	Spring Park Wood, Coulsdon and Other Commons Sir Thomas Gresham Charity Barking Power Limited Company Thames Power Services Limited Other Entities Corporation of London Charities Pool City Education Trust Fund City of London School Bursary and Awards Fund City of London School for Girls Bursary Fund and City of London School for Girls Scholarships and	Spring Park Wood, Coulsdon and Other Commons Sir Thomas Gresham Charity The objectives of the charity are the provision of eight Almshouses known as the Gresham Almshouses; the annual payment of an allowance to the alms folk; and the annual payment to the four Gresham college lecturers as detailed below. Barking Power Limited Company Decommissioning of the power station is the principal business of the Company and, because if receives minimal external revenue, this is financed by a loan from the City of London Corporation. To provide management services to Barking Power Limited in connection with operation and decommissioning of Barking Power Station. Other Entities Corporation of London Charity The key objective of the charity is to provide small charities linked with the City of London Charities Pool The purposes for which the City Educational Trust Fund was established under section 25 of the City of London Various Powers Act 1967 declared that the capital and interest of the fund shall be applied by the City of London Corporation as it thinks fit. City of London School Bursary and Awards Fund Charity The objective of the charity is the promotion of education. The objective of the charity is the promotion of education (including physical training) by the provision of bursaries and other forms of financial assistance for fees and/or other costs incurred through attendance at the School to enable pupils to further their education at the School.

The objectives of the charities are the preservation and maintenance of West Wickham

The objective of the charity is the promotion of education (including physical training) by the provision of bursaries and other forms of financial assistance for fees and / or other

City of London Freemen's School Bursary Fund



	The City of London Corporation Combined Education Charity	Charity	The objects of the charity are to further the education of persons (including persons born or resident in the City of London and those attending educational institutions in the City of London or the other London boroughs) attending or proposing to attend secondary, further or higher educational institutions by the provision of grants or financial assistance and by arranging or supporting education and training to extend or complement courses provided by such institutions.	IE
Dogo 51	Emanuel Hospital	Charity	From 22 October 2019, the objectives were amended to become for the public benefit, the relief of need by reason of age, ill-health, disability, financial hardship or other disadvantage of persons who are resident or have been resident in Greater London.	IE
	The City of London Corporation Combined Relief of Poverty Charity	Charity	The objective of the charity is the relief of those in need by reason of poverty, old age, ill health, accident or infirmity who are either the widow, widower or child of a Freeman of the City of London or who reside in the City of London or the London Boroughs by the provision of grants, items and services or such other support as the Trustee determines.	IE
	Sir William Coxen Trust Fund	Charity	The object of the charity is to apply income for the benefit of all or any of the Orthopaedic Hospitals of England or other hospitals or charitable institutions carrying out similar work (preference should be to the Royal National Orthopaedic Hospital Charity of Great Portland Street, London). Assistance is granted to eligible organisations (usually registered charities) in the form of donations and grants.	IE
	Samuel Wilson's Loan Trust	Charity	The objective of the charity is the relief of young people in need by reason of ill-health, disability, financial hardship or other disadvantage for the public benefit by: a) the provision of loans to individuals, partnerships and companies preparing for or engaged in any trade, manufacture, business or profession in the area comprising greater London and the counties of Buckinghamshire, Essex, Hertfordshire, Kent, Surrey, East Sussex and West Sussex; b) investing in shares of companies preparing for or engaged in any trade, manufacture, business or profession in the area comprising greater London and the counties of Buckinghamshire, Essex, Hertfordshire, Kent, Surrey, East Sussex and West	IE

at the School.

Sussex.

costs incurred through attendance at the School to enable pupils to further their education



City of London Almshouses	Charity	The objective of the charity is the provision of Almshouses for poor or aged persons, giving preference to freemen of the City of London, their wives, widows, sons and daughters and where practicable to married couples.	IE
Keats House	Charity	The objective of the charity is to preserve and maintain and restore for the education and benefit of the public the land with the buildings known as Keats House as a museum and live memorial to John Keats and as a literary meeting place and centre.	IE

Page 5

Appendix 2 - Significant and non-significant components (group entities only)

Significant and non-significant components

Defining the components

ISA 600 clarifies how the risk model underpinning the ISAs applies in a group context. It explains that:

"The structure of a group affects how components are identified. For example, the group financial reporting system may be based on an organisational structure that provides for financial information to be prepared by a parent and one or more subsidiaries, joint ventures, or investees accounted for by the equity or cost methods of accounting; by a head office and one or more divisions or branches; or by a combination of both.'

This means that City Cash and its individual subsidiaries are all potential components for the purposes of the ISA. Furthermore, for each component of City Cash we have to assess whether they are a 'significant component' – whether because of their individual financial significance to the group or because of its specific nature or circumstances, they are likely to include significant risks of material misstatement of the group financial statements.

We are required to audit each significant component to a "component materiality". The level of materiality to be used is a matter of judgement but it will always be lower than group materiality.

Our audit approach requires us to consider the structure of the group each year and classify each component as 'significant and material', 'material but not

significant' or 'not significant and not material' in terms of the group financial statements and plan our audit work accordingly.

Significant and material components

From our assessment of the risks and controls of each individual component, we have determined that the following will be treated as 'significant':

• The parent which co-ordinates the operations of the Group

Material but not significant

We have assessed Barking Power Limited and Hampstead Heath as being material but not significant to the group financial statements.

However Barking Power is subject to audit under the Companies Act 2006 and Hampstead Heath is subject to audit under Charities Act 2011, we will therefore perform a full scope audit on each entity.

Not significant and not material

We have assessed all other entities as being not significant and not material to the group financial statements.

However, these will be subject to audit or independent examination as agreed.

Page 5

Appendix 3 - Audit materiality

Audit materiality and communication of errors and adjustments

We do not seek to certify that the financial statements are 100% correct; rather we use the concept of "materiality" to plan our sample sizes and also to decide whether any errors or misstatements discovered during the audit (by you or us) require adjustment.

The assessment of materiality is a matter of professional judgment but consideration will be given to the highest cumulative error which would not threaten the validity of the financial statements. A matter is material if its omission or misstatement would reasonably influence the economic decisions of a user of the financial statements.

Whether adjustments are material to the "true and fair" view can only be judged in the particular circumstances of the items and their impact on the financial statements to which they relate. Materiality will be considered having regard to the overall financial statement totals, the relevant individual balance, the type of transaction and the disclosures.

As mentioned in Section 1, our overall audit materiality for the financial statements as a whole will take account of the level of funds held by City Cash and will be set at approximately 2% of Investments, this measure will be applied to the audit of investments and pension liability.

In addition, we will determine whether a materiality amount lower than this materiality level is applicable for any particular classes of transactions, account balances or disclosures. At planning we have determined a lower materiality of 1.5% of income will be applied to auditing transactions in the statement of financial activities and other balance sheet items.

We will, of course, discuss with your finance team all errors, other than those that are "clearly trivial", that we discover during the course of our audit work. Where such errors would have an impact upon the numbers reported in the statutory financial statements, but are not significant in terms of our audit, we will ask management if they wish to adjust the financial statements.

We will bring to your attention all significant potential adjustments to the financial statements. We will not, however, bring to your attention matters that we consider to be "clearly trivial" and we therefore propose to only identify amounts greater than 5% of our audit materiality.

The following is a summary of the overall materiality levels we apply to the separate entities within the group which are subject to an audit.

Entity	Materiality calculation	Materiality £'000	Reporting threshold £'000
City Cash Group	2% of investments/1.5% of income	58,474/2,536	2,923/127
City Cash	2% of investments/1.5% of income	ТВА	ТВА
Consolidated Entities			
Burnham Beeches	2% of expenditure	23	1
Epping Forest	2% of expenditure	133	7
Hampstead Heath/Hampstead Heath Trust	2% of expenditure	189	9
Highgate Wood and Queen's Park Kilburn	2% of expenditure	31	2
West Ham Park	2% of expenditure	32	2
West Wickham and Spring Park Wood, Coulsdon	2% of expenditure	28	1



and Other Commons			
Barking Power Limited	2% of Fixed Assets/2% of expenditure	2,496/86	125/4
Thames Power Services Limited	2% of expenditure	11	1
Other Entities			
Corporation of London Charities Pool	2% of investments/2% of income	428/28	21/1
City of London School Bursary and Awards Fund	2% of investments/2% of income	74/5	4/1
City of London School for Girls Bursary Fund and City of London School for Girls Scholarships and Prizes Fund	2% of investments/2% of income	82/17	4/1



Appendix 4 - Annual Report / Trustees' Report and Financial Statements

Financial Statements and our audit

The preparation and presentation of the financial statements remains the responsibility of those charged with governance. However, our audit work will include reviewing the statements to ensure that they properly reflect the underlying financial records of each entity and also that they continue to be appropriately prepared in line with the requirements of the Charities SORP (FRS 102) and the requirements of the Charities / Companies Act (as applicable).

As part of our audit we will:

- ensure there is a full audit trail from the trial balance to the financial statements;
- review the financial statements against legal, regulatory and the SORP requirements and sector best practice;
- review the processes operated by each entity for identifying any related party transactions that might require disclosure; and
- review the latest copy of the risk register and ensure any key issues for the financial statements have been considered in the context of our audits, and appropriately managed in the context of each entities governance.

Annual Report/ Trustees' Report

We expect that your Trustees' Report (Trustee's Report where the City of London Corporation is the sole trustee) will include discussions of risks, outcomes, outputs and impacts and information on financial and non-financial KPIs.

Whilst we are required to review the report for any inconsistencies with the information included in the financial statements and to ensure that it reflects the SORP and other requirements, we do not audit the Trustees' Report. The responsibility for preparing the report rests with the charity's Trustees.

Although Trustee(s) may seek the assistance of the charity's staff in drafting the report, the Trustee(s) must approve the final text of the report. It is therefore important that Trustee(s) have some assurance over the process

which management have adopted in the collection and verification of the data included in the Trustees' Report.

It will also be important that each entity continues to ensure consistency between the statutory Trustees' Report information and any information that is included elsewhere including on its website.



Appendix 5 - External developments

We have summarised below some of the developments and changes in the charity sector over the recent period which we believe may be of interest and relevant to you. Please note that this information is provided as a summary only and that you should seek further advice if you believe that you have any specific related issues or intend to take or not take action based on any of the comments below.

We believe it is important to keep our clients up to date on the issues that affect them and, as a part of our ongoing communication, we regularly hold webinars and we therefore encourage you to visit our website (https://www.crowe.com/uk/croweuk/industries/webinars).or register to our mailing list (nonprofits@crowe.co.uk) to stay updated on these. Any webinars which you have missed remain available on demand on our website.

Governance

The Charities Bill: 5 key changes to charity law

The Charities Act 2022 (the Act) received Royal Assent on 24 February 2022 and brings into force a number of key changes to the Charities Act 2011, aimed at simplifying a number of processes.

While the Act comes into force on the date on which it was passed, a number of the provisions of the Act will only come into force when secondary legislation has been passed. It is expected that this will take place on a staggered basis over the next 12 – 18 months. In addition, the Charity Commission will be updating their guidance and online tools for each change to the Act.

We have set out below some of the key changes.

Governing document amendments

Amendments have been made to the Act to more closely align the rules for charities amending their constitution irrespective of the legal structure. Whilst the Commission will still need to approve any regulated amendments (e.g. changes to the charity's objects), they will now apply the same consistent criteria to approve these.

Permanent endowments

A number of changes have been made in respect of permanent endowments.

Firstly, the definition of permanent endowments has been updated with a simplified definition where property is considered to be a permanent endowment if it is 'subject to a restriction on being expended which distinguishes between income and capital'.

The Charities Act has also been updated to increase the maximum value of a permanent endowment that Trustees can resolve to release restrictions on spending capital from £10,000 to £25,000. This power has also been extended to incorporated entities.

In addition, a new provision in the Act will allow Trustees to borrow up to 25% of the value of a charity's permanent endowment subject to the amount being repaid within 20 years of being borrowed.

These new and amended powers will grant Trustees of permanently endowed charities increased flexibility in times of economic uncertainty.

Failed appeals

The Act introduces new rules granting the power for trustees to apply cy-près, allowing charities more flexibility in response to a charity appeal that has failed, allowing donations to be applied for another charitable purposes rather than having to be returned to donors under certain conditions:

i) The donation is a single gift of £120 or less; and the Trustees reasonably believe that during the financial year the total amount received from the donor for the specific charitable purpose is

Page 58

- \mathfrak{L} 120 or less (unless the donor states in writing that the gift must be returned if the charitable purposes fail); or
- ii) The donor, after all agreed actions have been taken, cannot be identified or found; or
- iii) The donor cannot be identified (for example cash collections)

In order to avail themselves of the new rules, Trustees will need to pass a resolution having regard to (a) the desirability of securing that the purposes are, so far as reasonably practicable, similar to the specific charitable purposes for which the money or other property was given; and (b) the need for the purposes to be suitable and effective in the light of current social and economic circumstances.

Where the proceeds are in excess of £1,000 a copy of the resolution, along with a statement of their reasons for passing it must be provided to the Commission. Such a resolution does not take affect until consent is provided by the Commission in writing.

Payments to Trustees

Under the Charities Act 2011, Trustees (or a person connected to the trustee) may receive payment for the provision of services to a charity under certain conditions. The Act extends this provision to allow payment for the provision of goods to a charity.

Ex Gratia Payments

Amendments to the act allow for ex gratia payments to be made without the Commission's consent, up to a maximum of £20,000 depending on the charity's income. In addition, the amendments allow this decision to be delegated to staff.

Mergers

A key amendment to the Act allows for legacies to be transferred to a merged charity. This change will remove the need for 'shell charities' to be maintained and therefore reduce administration costs.

Full details of all the changes can be found here and here.

Responsible investments guidance

The Charity Commission ran a public consultation in April 2021 in respect of updated guidance for responsible investments.

The results of the consultation were published on 18 August 2021, and can be viewed here.

During the consultation two charities were granted permission to bring a case relating to responsible investment to the High Court. They are seeking clarification of the law, with the case heard in April 2022. As a result, the updated guidance is not yet published until impact of the judgement has been fully assessed.

Guidance on hybrid working launch by ACAS

ACAS recently published guidance for employers on hybrid working, following the extended period of remote working as a result of the coronavirus pandemic.

The guidance is broken down into the following five sections:

- Considering hybrid working for your organisation
- Consulting and preparing to introduce hybrid working
- Creating a hybrid working policy
- · Treating staff fairly in hybrid working; and
- Supporting and managing staff in hybrid working

The guidance also considers other legal matters that employers should consider, including data and privacy issues, health and safety issues and working time requirements.

The guidance can be found here.

Charity Commission: 'Take action on fraud'

As part of Charity Fraud Awareness Week, the Charity Commission issued a press release in October 2021 warning charity trustees and management to 'take action on fraud' as new figures show £8million reported lost to crime last year.



The latest data from Action Fraud also shows 1,059 separate incidents of fraud were reported by charities in just one year from April 2020 to March 2021.

Together with the Fraud Advisory Panel, the regulator is urging all trustees to sign up to a new <u>Stop Fraud Pledge</u>, which commits charities to taking six practical actions to reduce the chances of falling victim to fraud.

The pledge includes the following measures:

- Appoint a suitable person (staff member, volunteer or trustee) to champion counter fraud work throughout the organisation
- Ensure that all trustees are aware of their legal duty to protect the charity's assets.
- Consult with staff, volunteers and trustees to identify the types of fraud that threaten the organization and the ways they can be prevented.
- Create a written fraud policy and share it regularly with staff, volunteers and trustees – so that everyone understands what fraud is and how they can help prevent it.
- Perform checks on the individuals and organisations with whom we have a financial relationship.
- Assess annually how well the fraud controls are working, taking into account new risks and making improvements as needed.

Compliance

Safeguarding in international aid

The Charity Commission issued an alert in June 2021 to assist trustees of international aid charities to improve their safeguarding practices.

The alert includes a number of key steps that trustee may consider, including

 making sure policies, communications and ongoing performance management help maintain appropriate behaviours by charity staff and workers to each other and the beneficiaries they serve

- joining the <u>Misconduct Disclosure Scheme</u> to help protect your charity and other organisations in the sector from individuals who pose a safeguarding risk
- giving victims and survivors, and their families and friends, a safe means to report their concerns and complaints
- launching robust and timely investigations into allegations or concerns where they arise

A copy of the alert can be found <u>here</u> along with the Commission's guidance Safeguarding and protection people for charities and trustees.

Government procurement: carbon reduction plans

The Government has introduced new measures which will require businesses to commit to net zero by 2050 and publish clear and credible reductions plans before they can bid for major government contracts.

Under the new measures, for procurements advertised on or after 30 September 2021, suppliers bidding for contracts above $\mathfrak{L}5$ million a year will need to have committed to the government's target of net zero by 2050 and have published a carbon reduction plan.

Carbon Reduction Plans ('CRP') must meet the required standard, and includes (but is not limited to):

- Confirming the bidding supplier's commitment to achieving Net Zero by 2050 for their UK operations.
- Providing the supplier's current emissions for the sources included in Scope 1 and 2 of the GHG Protocol, and a defined subset of Scope 3 emissions.
- Providing emissions reporting in CO2e (Carbon Dioxide Equivalent) for the six greenhouse gases covered by the Kyoto Protocol4
- Setting out the environmental management measures in effect, including certification schemes or specific carbon reduction measures you have adopted, and that you will be able to apply when performing the contract and that support achieving Net Zero by 2050.
- Publication of the CRP on the supplier's website



Further details can be found $\underline{\text{here}}$ along with the Procurement Policy Note issued by the Cabinet Office $\underline{\text{here}}$.

Financial and other reporting

Understand the FX issues impacting INGOs

Our latest report, in association with <u>CharityTransfers.org</u>, draws on research from 114 UK charities with international operations. It provides insight into the big FX challenges and identifies possible areas for improvement.

While the research shows there is work to do, it is in many ways encouraging that most organisations recognise the risks they face, even if they sometimes lack the expertise or resources to manage them. This is particularly important to drive improvement as INGOs face significant financial challenges.

Key points from the report:

Page

- Charity challenges
- · Protecting funding from foreign exchange volatility
- Lack of transparency on FX remittance costs
- Lack of competition when making transfers
- Use of banks versus FX brokers
- Transaction challenges
- In-country payments hard versus local currency
- Difficulty setting accurate budget rates
- Use of official policies to manage FX risk

A copy of the report can be obtained here.

COVID-19-related rent concessions beyond 30 June 2021

In June 2021, the Financial Reporting Council updated the amendment to FRS 102 in respect of Covid-19 related rent concessions, extending the period to which the amendments apply to 30 June 2022.

Under the amendments, any reduction in lease payments are recognised over the period that the change in lease payments is intended to compensate. For example, if a lessee is offered a rent holiday such that the rent due for July 2021 to December 2022 is waived, no lease expense would be recognized in that period.

The lessee will also need to disclose the change in lease payments recognised in profit or loss in accordance with the amendments, unless the entity is a small entity applying Section 1A of FRS 102, in which case such a disclosure is recommended.

The effective date for these amendments is accounting periods beginning on or after 1 January 2021, with early application permitted.

A copy of the updated amendment to FRS 102 can be obtained here.

Taxation

Advertising vs Marketing: An update for charities

Charities are allowed to acquire advertising services from suppliers with the zero-rate of VAT but one condition for the relief to apply is that the advertising is made to the general public.

After consultation with the charity and advertising sector, HMRC released its Revenue and Customs Brief 13 in September 2020. The brief indicated that some supplies that are made by suppliers like Facebook could be treated as zero-rated, e.g. audience targeting and location targeting. However, the notice goes on to state that the standard rate of VAT applies to social media accounts because "when individuals log in to their personal pages, sites use tools to apply advertisements to them when they are signed in. The content will be related to the individual's known likes, dislikes, interests or location, as a signed in member of the website."

We have a number of charity clients that are affected by this as they use suppliers like Facebook for a number of fundraising campaigns. As Facebook is based outside the UK it is up to the UK based charity to account for any VAT due and this is often to a large extent irrecoverable. Therefore, we have written to HMRC to seek clarification of its position.

 $\ensuremath{\mathsf{HMRC}}$ has been provided with numerous examples of services and the terms and conditions applicable.



HMRC's response states that all supplies of Facebook advertising fall outside of zero-rated advertising. Consequently, 20% VAT must be accounted for by charities on such supplies received from suppliers based outside the UK.

HMRC's position is now clear and unless it is successfully challenged reverse charge VAT should be applied to services received from suppliers such as Facebook.

If VAT has not been applied to these services, HMRC should be notified of the amount of tax due in order that any penalties applicable can be mitigated.

Grant Funding: Business or non-business

Our <u>Insight</u> in January 2021 informed you of the decision of the Upper Tier Tribunal in Colchester Institute and the potential adverse effects it could have upon institutions other than those in the Further Education (FE) sector.

Subsequently there has been a further unsuccessful attempt by a FE College to exploit the decision made by the upper Tier Tribunal (<u>read the transcript</u>) and HMRC has also responded with its guidance in its business brief 08/21.

The decision has a direct application to those in the Further Education sector that receive grant income. However, in theory the conclusion arrived at by the UTT could be applied to any entity that has received grant income that has treated this as 'non-business'.

The decision in Colchester Institute reversed the common opinion that grant income is used to support non-business activities as the court opined that funding from The Skill Funding Agency (SFA) and the Education Funding Agency (EFA) was in fact consideration for supplies of educational services. In theory this could have wide reaching application in relation to the zero-rating of buildings used for charitable purposes, the application of reduce rate VAT to Fuel and Power and recovery of VAT on costs using both the standard method and special methods of partial exemption.

Many VAT commentators have seen the decision by the Tribunal as a threat to common well-founded VAT treatments applied by charities.

HMRC's brief 08/21 does give comfort to the charities' sector as well as the education sector since it essentially confirms that it disagrees with the Tribunal's decision and states that whilst it will not appeal, its policy on grant funded education will not change. Therefore, it appears that HMRC has no motivation to use the decision to serve a wider purpose and disturb well established VAT treatments for the charities' sector. Furthermore, it is willing

to retain the status quo in relation to SFA and EFA funding (i.e. treat the income as non-business).

Three common trading activity VAT issues for charities in 2022

Donated Goods Retail Gift Aid Scheme and VAT

The Retail Gift Aid scheme is used by many charities in order to treat what would have been the sale of donated goods as donations of cash by acting as agent for the owners in selling their goods. This enables the charity to be able to claim Gift Aid.

It is important to note, that from a VAT perspective, this changes the nature of the transaction entirely. If donated goods are sold the shop is making a zero-rated taxable business activity which enables VAT recovery on associated costs. Whereas, if a charity is selling goods on behalf of someone in return for a donation, this is a 'non-business activity' and so while there is no VAT due on the donation, VAT cannot be recovered on the associated costs.

This can result in the shop being required to apply an apportionment to arrive at the correct amount of VAT recoverable in relation to the shop costs so VAT administration increases and VAT recovery is reduced.

Solution: To properly operate the scheme, the charity should charge a VAT bearing commission to the donor of the goods. This does mean a small amount of VAT being paid to HMRC but VAT on associated costs incurred on the shops can be recovered in full.

Lottery ticket sales from shops

Most charities that operate a lottery sell tickets by entering into monthly agreements with customers who buy directly from a head-office. In addition, some tickets may also be sold in charity shops.

Lottery tickets are exempt from VAT, and therefore, no VAT is due on the sales but VAT cannot be recovered on associated costs. This again results in less VAT being recovered by the charity within the shops. It also adds an extra layer of administration as overhead costs of the shop would need to be apportioned.

Solution: In reality, the VAT bearing costs used by the shop to make the lottery/raffle ticket sales is minimal and so application should be made to HMRC to apply a fairer apportionment on shop costs where these sales exist.

Effect of COVID-19 on VAT recovery rates

The COVID-19 pandemic has resulted in many charity shops, cafes and social enterprise activities to close temporarily. This could have an impact on the amount of VAT recoverable on overhead costs, particularly where the charity uses an income-based apportionment as the proxy for recovery (e.g. the standard method of partial exemption). This is because taxable income has been reduced while exempt income may have remained constant. For example, care services in general will have continued during lockdown, while shops/cafes and conference venues have remained closed.

Solution: Apply to HMRC to agree an alternative recovery method for the year. HMRC has released an information sheet which states it will look at these requests sympathetically and has set up a purpose-built inbox to review these applications. We would suggest that charities review their recovery rates to see if there has been, or will be (using a forecast), a heavy reduction input tax recovery so this can be addressed. HMRC's release can be accessed here.

Health and Social Care Levy

U

The government has announced on 8 September 2021 a new Health and Social Care Levy to pay for reforms to the care sector and NHS funding in England.

The levy will apply from April 2022, although will operate slightly differently in 2022–23 compared to future tax years.

From April 2022, the levy will see an increase of 1.25% on the rates of:

- Class 1 Primary and Secondary National Insurance Contributions paid by employees and employers on gross wages, respectively
- Class 1A and Class 1B Contributions paid by employers on benefits provided to employees
- Class 4 National Insurance Contributions paid by the self-employed on profits.

In 2022–23, this will operate as a simple increase of the National Insurance Contributions rates, so only those liable to pay National Insurance Contributions will be subject to the levy.

From 2023–24 onwards, once HMRC have developed new systems, the levy will operate as a separate payment to National Insurance Contributions, and it will also apply to those above the State Pension age, which is currently not

the case for Class 1 Primary and Class 4 National Insurance Contributions. However, existing reliefs for Class 1 Secondary National Insurance Contributions will also apply to the new levy for employers of apprentices under the age of 25, all employees under the age of 21, veterans, and new employees in Freeports (from April 2022). The levy deduction will appear separately on employee payslips.

From an employer perspective, the effective increase in Class 1 Secondary National Insurance Contributions means that employment costs will increase. It is important that employers assess the impact of this increase on their employment costs and assess how it can be funded. Alternatively, employers may wish to consider other means of remunerating their employees, for example, through tax-efficient benefits, which would not be subject to the levy.

12.5% VAT rate applied to hospitality

From 1 October 2021 the VAT rate applied to hospitality changed from 5% to 12.5%. From 15 July 2020 VAT had been chargeable at 5% on:

- on-premises catering and non-alcoholic drinks sold with catering
- hot takeaway food and drinks
- admissions to attractions including theatres and amusement parks
- hotel and holiday accommodation.

This was always intended to be a temporary measure to boost the hospitality sector at this difficult time. Rather than return to 20% VAT in one go, the VAT rate applicable to these goods and services changed to 12.5% where these are supplied between 1 October 2021 and 31 March 2022.

It should be noted that none of the above affects situations where no VAT is chargeable, such as cold takeaway food.

We have produced guidance on actions both suppliers and customers should take here https://www.crowe.com/uk/insights/preparing-for-the-new-vat-rate.

VAT and Covid-19 Testing

HMRC has recently released its policy, Revenue and Customs Brief 11, in relation to the VAT treatment of COVID-19 tests, and is relevant to any organisation who is involved in the provision of tests for COVID-19 or receives these services from suppliers.

63



HMRC's recent brief has confirmed its policy on the VAT treatment of COVID-19 testing and the requirements for VAT exemption to apply. These should be followed to avoid HMRC issuing VAT assessments and penalties.

HMRC has stated that the medical care exemption will apply in instances where:

- the service incorporates the administration of the test to the patient;
 and
- the provision of the results.
- by a medical professional or somebody supervised by a medical professional such as a qualified registered nurse, doctor or a state regulated institution.

Exemption can still apply where the service is supplied by a non-registered person but the services are 'wholly performed' by a medical professional.

Exemption does not apply where:

- the service is provided by UK Accredited Service or the Care Quality Commission as HMRC does not consider these as being state regulation
- the service is administered by the patient themselves. Therefore, lateral flow tests are standard rated
- tests are supplied by manufacturers to hospitals. pharmacies.

HMRC's policy may be challenged as the application to some scenarios could be complicated and provide results that will appear inequitable.

However, if your organisation's treatment is not in line with the policy corrective action should be taken both retrospectively and going forward.

Furthermore, if a supplier has been applying standard rate VAT where exemption applies, the over-charged VAT can be recovered by seeking a credit from the supplier.

Tax on Covid-19 Grants for charities

Over the last couple of years many charities and/or their trading subsidiaries have claimed payments under the coronavirus job retention scheme (CJRS). The CJRS scheme ended on 30 September 2021 and was replaced by the Job Support Scheme (JSS).

Charities may also have claimed the following Covid grants, or 'coronavirus support payments' (CSP), which include:

- the self-employment income support scheme (SEISS),
- the small business grant fund,
- the retail, hospitality and leisure grant fund, the local authority discretionary grants fund,
- the coronavirus statutory sick pay (SSP) rebate scheme,
- the equivalent grant funds in Scotland, Wales and Northern Ireland
- amounts paid under the test and trace support payment scheme and its equivalent in Scotland and Wales, called self-isolation support payments
- Eat Out to Help Out (EOTHO)

CJRS and other CSP payments are generally stated to be taxable for income or corporation tax – is that the case for charities?

If the payments are to support a charitable (i.e. a non-taxable) activity of a charity, they are not taxable. If they are to support a non-charitable trade, then they will be included in the profits from that trade, as the expenditure covered will be tax-deductible. If the turnover from the trade is below the de minimis limit for income or corporation tax (currently £80,000 in a tax year, or less if the charity's total income is below £320,000) then the grant payments will not be counted when calculating whether the turnover goes over that limit. However, once the turnover is over that limit, then the CJRS/CSP receipts become taxable income.

If the CJRS or CSP grant relates to two different activities, one charitable and the other non-charitable, then it needs to be apportioned between the two on a reasonable basis.

EOTHO was implemented separately from other CSPs. HMRC guidance for EOTHO states that "You must include the payments you receive as income when you calculate your taxable profits for Income Tax and Corporation Tax purposes".

Reporting CJRS payments

Page 64

However, when it comes to reporting grant payments to HMRC, there are additional tax return reporting requirements for CJRS, JSS, JRB and EOTHO. The JRB, or Job Retention Bonus, is not yet in operation. The Eat Out To Help Out scheme (EOTHO) applied in August 2020.

Whether or not the amounts received are taxable, they need to be reported on the charity's tax return (if it needs to complete one). On the Corporation tax return there are three boxes for CJRS receipts, boxes 471-473. Box 471 records CJRS payments actually received in the period, Box 472 records entitlement over the same period. If the total in Box 471 is larger than the total in Box 472, then clearly there is an overpayment that must be returned, less any overpayments that have already been reported to HRMC or already assessed (Box 473).

Box 474 relates only to JRB and EOTHO overpayments. EOTHO claims need to be reported in Box 647.

The amount owed to HMRC for CJRS is recorded in Box 526. However, this amount is not added to the total of any corporation tax due. It is treated as income tax, and a separate assessment will be issued to collect it.

For trust and estate returns the reporting requirements are contained in Boxes 21.6A and 21.6B. Amounts entered in box 21.6B will be added to the income tax liability of the Trust or Estate, so it is important that if any overclaimed amounts have already been assessed, they are not included in the amount in this box. The individual amounts claimed need to be reported on the relevant boxes of the supplementary pages.

CJRS claims made by trading subsidiaries

If a subsidiary has made its own CJRS (or other CSP grant) claim then clearly this needs to be recorded on its tax return. However, many charities have claimed CJRS for their employees, and then recharged a portion relating to the employees' work for the trading subsidiary. It is important that the company that has actually claimed the CJRS reports the full amount on its tax return, before any recharges, otherwise confusion will result.

Follow us on:





www.crowe.co.uk

Crowe U.K. LLP is a limited liability partnership registered in England and Wales with registered number OC307043. The registered office is at 2nd Floor, 55 Ludgate Hill, London EC4M 7JW. A list of the LLP's members is available at the registered office. Crowe U.K. LLP is registered to carry on audit work in the UK by the Institute of Chartered Accountants in England and Wales and is authorised and regulated by the Financial Conduct Authority. All insolvency practitioners in the firm are licensed in the UK by the Insolvency Practitioners Association. Crowe U.K. LLP is a member of Crowe Global, a Swiss verein. Each member firm of Crowe Global is a separate and independent legal entity. Crowe U.K. LLP and its affiliates are not responsible or liable for any acts or omissions of Crowe Global or any other member of Crowe Global.

This material is for informational purposes only and should not be construed as financial or legal advice. Please seek guidance specific to your organisation from qualified advisors in your jurisdiction.

This page is intentionally left blank

Agenda Item 20

By virtue of paragraph(s) 3 of Part 1 of Schedule 12A of the Local Government Act 1972.

Document is Restricted



By virtue of paragraph(s) 3 of Part 1 of Schedule 12A of the Local Government Act 1972.

Document is Restricted

